

AS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ON OCTOBER 8, 1996

REGISTRATION STATEMENT NO. 33-

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form S-3
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER THE
SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

CINCINNATI BELL INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

OHIO
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

31-1056105
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

201 EAST FOURTH STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202 (513) 397-9900
(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code,
of registrant's principal executive office)

WILLIAM H. ZIMMER III, SECRETARY AND TREASURER, CINCINNATI BELL INC., 201 EAST
FOURTH STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202 (513) 397-9900
(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code,
of agent for service)

Please send copies of all communications to:

WILLIAM D. BASKETT, III, ESQ.
Frost & Jacobs
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 651-6800

ALLAN G. SPERLING, ESQ.
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton
One Liberty Plaza
New York, New York 10006-1470
(212) 225-2000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: From time
to time after the effective date of the Registration Statement as determined by
market conditions.

If the only securities being registered on this Form are being offered
pursuant to dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following
box. / /

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on
a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of
1933, other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest
reinvestment plans, check the following box. / /

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering
pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box
and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier
effective registration statement for the same offering. / /

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c)
under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act
registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement
for the same offering. / /

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434,
please check the following box. / /

 CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

TITLE OF EACH CLASS OF SECURITIES TO BE REGISTERED	AMOUNT TO BE REGISTERED (1)	PROPOSED MAXIMUM AGGREGATE PRICE PER SHARE (2)	PROPOSED MAXIMUM AGGREGATE OFFERING PRICE (2)	AMOUNT OF REGISTRATION FEE
Common Shares, \$1.00 par value (3)	3,450,000	\$52.19	\$180,055,500	\$54,563
Common Shares, \$1.00 par value (4)	2,300,000	\$52.19	\$120,037,000	\$36,375

- (1) Includes 750,000 Common Shares to cover over-allotments, if any.
- (2) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, based on the average of the high and low trading prices of the Common Shares on the New York Stock Exchange on October 7, 1996.
- (3) Common Shares which may be delivered by Salomon Inc pursuant to the terms of certain exchangeable notes which may be offered by Salomon Inc as described herein.
- (4) Common Shares which may be offered by Bankers Trust Company as Trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

2

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Registration Statement contains two forms of prospectus: (1) a prospectus relating to the delivery by Salomon Inc ("Salomon"), pursuant to the % Exchangeable Notes due , 2000 (the "DECS") of Salomon, of Common Shares of the Registrant that Salomon may receive from Waslic Company II ("Western & Southern"), a wholly owned subsidiary of The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, pursuant to the terms of certain exchangeable notes of Western & Southern; and (2) a prospectus relating to the offer by Bankers Trust Company as Trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust of Common Shares of the Registrant (the "Pension Trust Prospectus"). The alternate pages for the Pension Trust Prospectus are marked "Pension Trust Prospectus."

3

INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OR AMENDMENT. A REGISTRATION STATEMENT RELATING TO THESE SECURITIES HAS BEEN FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. THESE SECURITIES MAY NOT BE SOLD NOR MAY OFFERS TO BUY BE ACCEPTED PRIOR TO THE TIME THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT BECOMES EFFECTIVE. THIS PROSPECTUS SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO SELL OR THE SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO BUY NOR SHALL THERE BE ANY SALE OF THESE SECURITIES IN ANY STATE IN WHICH SUCH OFFER, SOLICITATION OR SALE WOULD BE UNLAWFUL PRIOR TO REGISTRATION OR QUALIFICATION UNDER THE SECURITIES LAWS OF ANY SUCH STATE.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION
 OCTOBER 8, 1996

LOGO

PROSPECTUS

3,000,000 SHARES
CINCINNATI BELL INC.
COMMON SHARES
(\$1.00 PAR VALUE)

Pursuant to the terms of the % Exchangeable Notes due , 2000 (the "Debt Exchangeable for Common StockSM" or "DECSSM") of Salomon Inc, a Delaware corporation ("Salomon"), Salomon may deliver to the holders of the DECS common shares, par value \$1.00 per share (the "Common Shares"), of Cincinnati Bell Inc. ("Cincinnati Bell"). This Prospectus relates to the delivery by Salomon pursuant to the DECS of up to 3,000,000 Common Shares, plus up to an additional 450,000 Common Shares with respect to DECS solely to cover over-allotments, that Salomon may receive from Waslic Company II ("Western & Southern"), a Delaware corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, under the terms of certain exchangeable notes of Western & Southern (the "Western & Southern DECS") issued to Salomon. This Prospectus accompanies a Prospectus Supplement and Prospectus of Salomon (together, the "DECS Prospectus") relating to the sale of 3,000,000 DECS, plus up to an additional 450,000 DECS solely to cover over-allotments (the "DECS Offering"). Cincinnati Bell will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the DECS or delivery thereunder of the Common Shares to which this Prospectus relates. Cincinnati Bell takes no responsibility for any information included in or omitted from the DECS Prospectus. The DECS Prospectus does not constitute a part of this Prospectus nor is it incorporated by reference herein.

The Registration Statement of which this Prospectus forms a part also includes a Prospectus relating to the offering (the "Pension Trust Offering") of up to 2,000,000 Common Shares by Bankers Trust Company as Trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust (the "Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust"), plus up to an additional 300,000 Common Shares solely to cover over-allotments.

Cincinnati Bell and Western & Southern have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, not to sell, without the prior written consent of Salomon Brothers Inc ("Salomon Brothers") and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated ("Morgan Stanley"), any Common Shares or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for Common Shares for a period of 90 days after the date of this Prospectus. See "Plan of Distribution."

The Common Shares are listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (the "NYSE") and the Cincinnati Stock Exchange under the symbol "CSN." On October 7, 1996, the last reported sale price of the Common Shares on the NYSE Composite Tape was \$52.13 per share. See "Price Range of Common Shares and Dividends."

"Debt Exchangeable for Common Stock" and "DECS" are service marks of Salomon Brothers.

SEE "RISK FACTORS" BEGINNING ON PAGE 8 FOR A DISCUSSION OF CERTAIN FACTORS THAT SHOULD BE CAREFULLY CONSIDERED BY PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS.

THESE SECURITIES HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION NOR HAS THE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The date of this Prospectus is , 1996.

IN CONNECTION WITH THE DECS OFFERING AND THE PENSION TRUST OFFERING, THE RESPECTIVE UNDERWRITERS OF THE DECS AND THE COMMON SHARES MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE OR MAINTAIN THE MARKET PRICE OF THE DECS OR THE COMMON SHARES OF CINCINNATI BELL AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH TRANSACTIONS MAY BE EFFECTED ON THE NYSE, IN THE OVER-THE-COUNTER MARKET OR OTHERWISE. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain information incorporated by reference into this Prospectus under the captions "Risk Factors," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Business" and elsewhere include "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and is subject to the safe harbor created by that Act. There are several important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by the forward-looking statements contained in such discussions. Additional information on the risk factors which could affect Cincinnati Bell's financial results is included in this Prospectus and in other documents incorporated by reference herein.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Cincinnati Bell is subject to the informational reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and in accordance therewith files reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"). Such reports, proxy statements and other information filed by Cincinnati Bell may be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the Commission at Room 1024, Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, and at the Commission's regional offices at Room 3190, Citicorp Center, 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60661, and Seven World Trade Center, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10048. Copies of such material may be obtained by mail from the Public Reference Section of the Commission at Room 1024, Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 at prescribed rates. In addition, material filed by Cincinnati Bell can be inspected and copied at the offices of the NYSE, 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005. The Commission maintains a Web site at <http://www.sec.gov> containing reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the Commission, including Cincinnati Bell.

Cincinnati Bell has filed with the Commission a Registration Statement on Form S-3 (the "Registration Statement") under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), with respect to the Common Shares offered hereby. This Prospectus does not contain all of the information set forth in the Registration Statement and the exhibits and schedules filed as a part thereof, as permitted by the rules and regulations of the Commission. For further information with respect to Cincinnati Bell and the Common Shares, reference is hereby made to such Registration Statement, including the exhibits and schedules filed as a part thereof. Statements contained in this Prospectus as to the contents of any contract or other document referred to herein are not necessarily complete and where such contract or other document is an exhibit to the Registration Statement, each such statement is qualified in all respects by the provisions of such exhibit, to which reference is hereby made for a full statement of the provisions thereof. The Registration Statement, including the exhibits and schedules filed as a part thereof, may be inspected without charge at the public reference facilities maintained by the Commission as set forth in the preceding paragraph. Copies of these documents may be obtained at prescribed rates from the Public Reference Section of the Commission at Room 1024, Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549.

3

5

INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE

The following documents have been filed by Cincinnati Bell with the Commission (File No. 1-8519) and are incorporated herein by reference:

1. Cincinnati Bell's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995.
2. Cincinnati Bell's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters

ended March 31, 1996 and June 30, 1996.

3. The description of Rights set forth in Cincinnati Bell's Registration Statement on Form 8-A declared effective November 5, 1986, and any amendment or report filed for the purpose of updating such description.

All documents filed by Cincinnati Bell pursuant to Section 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act after the date of this Prospectus and prior to the termination of this offering shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference in this Prospectus and to be a part hereof from the date of filing such documents. Any statement contained in a document incorporated by reference herein shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for purposes of this Prospectus to the extent that a statement contained herein, or in any other subsequently filed document that is also incorporated or is deemed to be incorporated by reference herein, modifies or supersedes such statement. Any such statement so modified or superseded shall not be deemed, except as so modified or superseded, to constitute a part of this Prospectus. Subject to the foregoing, all information appearing in this Prospectus is qualified in its entirety by the information appearing in the documents incorporated by reference herein.

Cincinnati Bell will provide without charge, upon written or oral request, to each person to whom a copy of this Prospectus is delivered, a copy of any or all of the documents referred to above other than exhibits to such documents. Requests for such copies should be directed to the Secretary of Cincinnati Bell Inc., 201 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202, telephone number (513) 397-9900.

"Debt Exchangeable for Common Stock" and "DECS" are service marks of Salomon Brothers.

4

6

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the detailed information and financial statements, including the notes thereto, contained elsewhere or incorporated by reference in this Prospectus. Unless otherwise indicated, the information contained in this Prospectus assumes no exercise of the Underwriters' over-allotment option.

THE COMPANY

Cincinnati Bell is a major U.S. diversified telecommunications company with principal businesses in three industry segments. The telephone operations segment, Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("CBT"), provides telecommunications services and products, which include local service, network access and toll telephone services in the Greater Cincinnati area. The information systems segment, Cincinnati Bell Information Systems Inc. ("CBIS"), provides data processing and software development services primarily to the U.S. telecommunications industry. The telephone marketing services segment, MATRIX Marketing Inc. ("MATRIX"), provides telephone marketing, research, fulfillment, database management, interactive voice response and Internet services primarily to large corporations such as AT&T Corp ("AT&T") and DIRECTV Inc. ("DIRECTV"). Cincinnati Bell also provides long distance and directory services, markets communications equipment and has a minority interest in a partnership which operates cellular telephone systems.

Cincinnati Bell is a leader in each of its principal businesses. CBT is the leading local telephone company in the Greater Cincinnati area; CBIS is the leading provider of billing and customer care services to the wireless telecommunications market in North America; and MATRIX is a leader among independent providers of outsourced telephone marketing services based on annual revenues.

Cincinnati Bell's revenues and operating income excluding special items for

(IN MILLIONS EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS							
Revenues.....	\$ 738.1	\$ 665.9	\$1,336.1	\$1,228.2	\$1,096.2	\$1,101.4	\$1,064.7
Costs and expenses (excluding special items).....	602.0	555.5	1,110.7	1,057.1	982.0	990.8	920.0
Operating income (excluding special items).....	136.1	110.4	225.4	171.1	114.2	110.6	144.7
Special items.....	(11.0)	134.5	178.7	5.7	132.9	19.4	26.8
Operating income (loss).....	147.1	(24.1)	46.7	165.4	(18.7)	91.2	117.9
Other income (expense), net.....	4.5	0.1	(13.5)	1.7	9.4	10.9	4.2
Interest expense.....	18.0	26.0	52.8	49.5	45.8	46.2	52.8
Income (loss) before income taxes, extraordinary charges and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.....	133.6	(50.0)	(19.6)	117.6	(55.1)	55.9	69.3
Income taxes.....	47.1	(17.4)	5.7	42.1	1.7	17.0	26.6
Extraordinary charges and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.....	--	--	(7.0)	(2.9)	--	(3.7)	--
Net income (loss).....	\$ 86.5	\$ (32.6)	\$ (32.3)	\$ 72.6	\$ (56.8)	\$ 35.2	\$ 42.7
Earnings (loss) per common share...	\$ 1.26	\$ (.49)	\$ (.49)	\$ 1.11	\$ (.93)	\$.50	\$.63
Dividends declared per common share.....	\$.40	\$.40	\$.80	\$.80	\$.80	\$.80	\$.80
Weighted average common shares outstanding (000).....	68,522	66,131	66,271	65,443	63,296	61,914	61,334
Operating margin (excluding special items).....	18.4%	16.6%	16.9%	13.9%	10.4%	10.0%	13.6%
FINANCIAL POSITION							
Total assets.....	\$1,592.4	\$1,713.2	\$1,591.7	\$1,723.4	\$1,664.1	\$1,632.5	\$1,743.1
Long-term debt.....	\$ 384.5	\$ 491.3	\$ 386.8	\$ 528.3	\$ 522.9	\$ 350.1	\$ 445.2
Total debt.....	\$ 511.9	\$ 603.4	\$ 512.9	\$ 597.0	\$ 634.9	\$ 543.0	\$ 618.1
Common shareowners' equity.....	\$ 550.6	\$ 499.8	\$ 478.1	\$ 552.4	\$ 515.6	\$ 568.9	\$ 581.6

7

9

RISK FACTORS

The following factors should be considered in connection with an investment in Cincinnati Bell Common Shares. Any one or more of such factors may cause Cincinnati Bell's actual results for various financial reporting periods to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of Cincinnati Bell.

REGULATORY AND COMPETITIVE TRENDS

Recently enacted and future legislative and regulatory initiatives will have an impact on CBT and other incumbent local exchange carriers ("LECs"), including the Regional Bell Operating Companies ("RBOCs") and other independent telephone companies. The extent of that impact will not be known until the initiatives are fully implemented. The basic thrust of these initiatives is to encourage and accelerate the development of competition in the telecommunications industry by removing legal barriers to competition across major segments of that industry. Under the initiatives, companies that today are limited to one or more of those segments, including local exchange, long distance, wireless, cable television and information services, could enter the other segments to compete with the incumbent providers and other new entrants.

Today's technology makes it possible to interconnect facilities of competing telecommunications carriers and to provide the service offerings of multiple competitors through the network facilities of one or more incumbents. At the federal level, the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act") passed in February 1996 requires incumbent LECs like CBT to interconnect with the networks of other service providers, unbundle certain network elements and make them available to competing providers at wholesale rates. Additionally, the Act requires the removal of other perceived barriers to competitive entry by alternative providers of local exchange services. Although the Act clearly states these mandates, it does so in general terms and leaves the implementation of these mandates to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") and the state regulatory agencies.

On August 8, 1996, the FCC issued an order establishing regulations to implement the "local competition" provisions of the Act. These regulations essentially establish parameters under which a LEC must allow other

telecommunications carriers to interconnect with its network, including the compensation that a LEC would receive for terminating calls originating from the networks of the other carriers. The FCC's regulations also establish parameters under which LECs must unbundle network elements and offer them to other telecommunications carriers. The prices for interconnection and unbundled elements either are to be negotiated between the parties (and approved by the relevant state commission) or, if the parties fail to reach an agreement, the rates are to be set by the relevant state commission based on guidelines established by the Act and implemented by the FCC. Under the Act, these rates must be based on the cost of providing the interconnection or unbundled elements, be nondiscriminatory and include a reasonable profit. The FCC has determined that the prices for these unbundled elements and interconnection are to be based on a methodology governed by forward-looking, long-run incremental costs. The Act also requires LECs to offer to other telecommunications carriers, at wholesale rates, any retail telecommunications service offered by the LEC to end-users. The FCC has determined that the wholesale rates are to be based on the LEC's retail rates, less the costs avoided by the LEC in offering its services for resale.

CBT and several other LECs believe the FCC's regulations with respect to interconnection, unbundling and resale unlawfully exceed the requirements of the Act. Accordingly, they are seeking review of the FCC's order in the United States Court of Appeals. On September 27, 1996, the Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit issued a temporary stay preventing implementation of the order pending arguments on whether to extend the stay through the resolution of the appeals. Oral argument on the question of whether the temporary stay should be continued pending appeal was heard by the Court on October 3, 1996. To date, no decision on the continuance of the temporary stay has been issued. The primary objections raised by CBT and certain other LECs are that the pricing standards established by the FCC for interconnection, unbundling and resale will not provide them with adequate compensation.

If the FCC's order were implemented as written, and if CBT were unable to obtain waivers to certain requirements or to replace its lost revenues, Cincinnati Bell believes that the result would have a material

adverse impact on its revenues and earnings. The material impact would result from the elimination of certain revenues designed to subsidize residential telephone service and increased costs to develop or modify systems to allow number portability and interconnection. CBT also believes that implementation of the FCC order would significantly enhance the position of its competitors, which would have an additional adverse impact on CBT's revenues and earnings from operations within its territory.

The outcome of three separate, but related, FCC proceedings could be significant for CBT. In the first of these proceedings, the FCC will be implementing a universal service funding mechanism which is currently being developed by a joint board made up of state and federal regulators. In the second of these proceedings, the FCC will be reforming the current access charge regime, which could result in an additional reduction in revenues. In the third, the FCC will be implementing regulations that may require certain LECs to share their infrastructure, technology, information and facilities with certain smaller telecommunications service providers.

At the state level, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") recently adopted a set of local service guidelines that largely mirror the requirements of the Act and the FCC regulations discussed above. In addition, the PUCO has issued an order granting Time Warner Communications of Ohio, L.P. a certificate of public convenience and necessity to provide local exchange service in CBT's operating territory. Other entities have been granted certificates to provide basic local exchange service in Ohio, although not in CBT's operating territory. CBT has sought rehearing of the local service guidelines in accordance with the PUCO's administrative procedures, and will consider further review by the Ohio Supreme Court if the local service

guidelines are not appropriately modified on rehearing. If CBT is unsuccessful in these efforts, Cincinnati Bell believes that CBT will face increased competition which may have a material adverse effect on its operating results.

The impact of the proposed regulatory changes may be mitigated through modification of the final rules, waivers of the rules and price increases in other regulated services (e.g., local rates).

CUSTOMER CONCENTRATION

MATRIX, CBIS and CBT rely on several significant customers for a large percentage of their respective revenues. Their relationships with customers are typically based on written contracts with a set term; however, such contracts may contain provisions that allow a customer at any time to terminate the relationship prior to the end of the contract term. In the case of MATRIX, three customers represented approximately 38% of its 1995 revenues. In the case of CBIS, its four largest customers, other than CBT, collectively represented approximately 70% of its 1995 revenues. During 1995, revenues from AT&T accounted for 26% of Cincinnati Bell's consolidated revenues under various independent contracts with one or more of its subsidiaries. Thus, the loss of one or more significant customers could have a material adverse effect on Cincinnati Bell's operating results.

CBT and AT&T are discussing whether to revise portions of their agreement concerning the joint provision of certain telecommunication services. Revenues subject to discussion represent approximately \$36 million or 6% of CBT's revenues, but portions of the contract provide above average profit contribution. The outcome of such discussions cannot be predicted, but significant changes in the relationship could have a material adverse impact on CBT's future earnings. These discussions with AT&T do not involve AT&T's relationship with other Cincinnati Bell subsidiaries.

CUSTOMER AND INDUSTRY SUCCESS

The revenues generated by MATRIX and CBIS are dependent on the success of their customers. If their customers are not successful, the amount of business that such customers outsource will be diminished. Several of MATRIX's and CBIS's current customers participate in emerging industries. The extent to which products marketed by such customers (e.g., personal communications services ("PCS")) will be successful is not yet known. Thus, although CBIS and MATRIX have signed contracts to provide services to such customers, there can be no assurance that the level of revenues to be received from such contracts will meet expectations.

Each of the business segments in which Cincinnati Bell's subsidiaries conduct their business has grown significantly in the last several years. To the extent that growth in these industry segments declines, such decline could adversely affect the growth of each subsidiary's business. In addition, the possibility of continued growth in these segments could be affected by the development of new products that provide alternatives to the product offerings of Cincinnati Bell, and by a change in the trend of businesses generally to outsource functions unrelated to their core capabilities.

RAPIDLY CHANGING TECHNOLOGY

The telecommunications industry is subject to rapid and significant changes in technology. Cincinnati Bell's businesses are highly dependent on its computer, telecommunications and software systems. Cincinnati Bell's failure to maintain the superiority of its technological capabilities or to respond effectively to technological changes could have an adverse effect on its businesses, results of operations or financial condition. Cincinnati Bell's future success also will be highly dependent upon its ability to enhance existing services and introduce new services or products to respond to changing technological developments. There can be no assurance that Cincinnati Bell can

successfully develop and bring to market any new services or products in a timely manner, that such services or products will be commercially successful or that competitors' technologies or services will not render Cincinnati Bell's products or services noncompetitive or obsolete.

POTENTIAL VOLATILITY OF STOCK PRICE

The trading price of Cincinnati Bell's Common Shares is subject to fluctuations in response to Cincinnati Bell's operating profits, announcements of new contract awards or new products by Cincinnati Bell and its subsidiaries or their competitors, general conditions in the market, changes in earnings estimates by analysts, failure to meet the revenues or earnings estimates of analysts or other events or factors. The public stock markets have experienced price and trading volume volatility in recent months. This volatility has significantly affected the market prices of securities of many companies for reasons frequently unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. The market price for the Common Shares has been highly volatile. Future announcements concerning Cincinnati Bell, its subsidiaries or their competition, including the results of technological innovations, new products, government regulations, litigation or public concern with respect to Cincinnati Bell or its subsidiaries and other factors including those described above, may have a significant impact on the market price of the Common Shares.

It is not possible to predict accurately how or whether any market that develops for the DECS will influence the market for the Common Shares. For example, the price of the Common Shares could become more volatile and could be depressed by investors' anticipation of the potential distribution into the market, upon the maturity of the DECS, of 3,000,000 Common Shares currently held by Western & Southern (which currently constitute approximately 4% of the outstanding Common Shares). The price of the Common Shares could also be affected by possible sales of Common Shares by investors who view the DECS as a more attractive means of equity participation in Cincinnati Bell and by hedging or arbitrage trading activity that may develop involving the DECS and the Common Shares.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Cincinnati Bell will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the DECS by Salomon or the sale of the Common Shares by the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust.

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON SHARES AND DIVIDENDS

The following table sets forth for the indicated calendar quarters the high and low sales prices for the Common Shares, as reported in the NYSE consolidated transaction system, and the dividends paid per Common Share since January 1, 1994.

	SALES PRICES		DIVIDENDS PAID
	HIGH	LOW	
1994			
First Quarter.....	\$18 7/8	\$15 1/2	\$.20
Second Quarter.....	\$17 1/2	\$15 3/8	\$.20
Third Quarter.....	\$20 1/8	\$16	\$.20
Fourth Quarter.....	\$19 1/2	\$16 3/4	\$.20
1995			
First Quarter.....	\$22 1/8	\$16 7/8	\$.20
Second Quarter.....	\$26 1/4	\$20 7/8	\$.20
Third Quarter.....	\$28 1/8	\$24 3/4	\$.20
Fourth Quarter.....	\$35 1/4	\$26 1/8	\$.20
1996			
First Quarter.....	\$53	\$32 1/4	\$.20

Second Quarter.....	\$57 3/4	\$46 7/8	\$.20
Third Quarter.....	\$53 1/4	\$45 3/8	\$.20
Fourth Quarter (through , 1996).....			\$.20(1)

(1) Cincinnati Bell declared a dividend on September 16, 1996, payable on November 1, 1996, to shareholders of record on October 2, 1996.

As of September 30, 1996, there were 18,532 holders of record of the Common Shares and 67,393,953 Common Shares outstanding, including 8,171,378 shares held by or for the account of Cincinnati Bell, its subsidiaries and its employee benefit plans.

Cincinnati Bell has paid consecutive cash dividends on its Common Shares since 1879. The payment of future dividends will depend upon future earnings, the financial condition of Cincinnati Bell and other factors.

11

13

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following table sets forth selected historical consolidated financial and operating data of Cincinnati Bell and its subsidiaries. The selected historical consolidated financial data as of and for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 1995 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Cincinnati Bell. The selected consolidated financial data as of and for the six month periods ended June 30, 1996 and 1995 have been derived from the unaudited consolidated financial statements of Cincinnati Bell. The statements for such six month periods, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial results for such periods. All adjustments are of a normal or recurring nature except those outlined in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Form 10-Ks and Form 10-Qs of Cincinnati Bell for those periods. The results of operations for the six month period ended June 30, 1996 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year. The historical consolidated financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with the audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements of Cincinnati Bell incorporated by reference herein. See "Available Information" and "Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference."

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	1996	1995	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
(IN MILLIONS EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)							
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS							
Revenues.....	\$ 738.1	\$ 665.9	\$1,336.1	\$1,228.2	\$1,096.2	\$1,101.4	\$1,064.7
Costs and expenses (excluding special items).....	602.0	555.5	1,110.7	1,057.1	982.0	990.8	920.0
Operating income (excluding special items).....	136.1	110.4	225.4	171.1	114.2	110.6	144.7
Special items.....	(11.0)	134.5	178.7	5.7	132.9	19.4	26.8
Operating income (loss).....	147.1	(24.1)	46.7	165.4	(18.7)	91.2	117.9
Other income (expense), net.....	4.5	.1	(13.5)	1.7	9.4	10.9	4.2
Interest expense.....	18.0	26.0	52.8	49.5	45.8	46.2	52.8
Income (loss) before income taxes, extraordinary charges and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.....	133.6	(50.0)	(19.6)	117.6	(55.1)	55.9	69.3
Income taxes.....	47.1	(17.4)	5.7	42.1	1.7	17.0	26.6
Extraordinary charges and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.....	--	--	(7.0)	(2.9)	--	(3.7)	--
Net income (loss).....	\$ 86.5	\$ (32.6)	\$ (32.3)	\$ 72.6	\$ (56.8)	\$ 35.2	\$ 42.7
Earnings (loss) per common share.....	\$ 1.26	\$ (.49)	\$ (.49)	\$ 1.11	\$ (.93)	\$.50	\$.63
Dividends declared per common share.....	\$.40	\$.40	\$.80	\$.80	\$.80	\$.80	\$.80
Weighted average common shares outstanding (000).....	68,522	66,131	66,271	65,443	63,296	61,914	61,334
Operating margin (excluding special items).....	18.4%	16.6%	16.9%	13.9%	10.4%	10.0%	13.6%
FINANCIAL POSITION							
Total assets.....	\$1,592.4	\$1,713.2	\$1,591.7	\$1,723.4	\$1,664.1	\$1,632.5	\$1,743.1

Long-term debt.....	\$ 384.5	\$ 491.3	\$ 386.8	\$ 528.3	\$ 522.9	\$ 350.1	\$ 445.2
Total debt.....	\$ 511.9	\$ 603.4	\$ 512.9	\$ 597.0	\$ 634.9	\$ 543.0	\$ 618.1
Common shareowners' equity.....	\$ 550.6	\$ 499.8	\$ 478.1	\$ 552.4	\$ 515.6	\$ 568.9	\$ 581.6
OTHER DATA							
Total capital additions (including acquisitions).....	\$ 71.5	\$ 73.5	\$ 166.8	\$ 156.2	\$ 235.4	\$ 140.1	\$ 193.3
Telephone plant construction.....	\$ 41.9	\$ 47.6	\$ 90.3	\$ 112.8	\$ 111.6	\$ 95.0	\$ 115.9
Access minutes of use (millions) --							
Interstate...	1,367	1,252	2,536	2,336	2,132	1,985	1,852
Intrastate...	474	473	956	932	888	836	793
Total.....	1,841	1,725	3,492	3,268	3,020	2,821	2,645

12

14

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Cincinnati Bell is a major U.S. diversified telecommunications company with principal businesses in three industry segments. The telephone operations segment, CBT, provides telecommunications services and products, which include local service, network access and toll telephone services in the Greater Cincinnati area. The information systems segment, CBIS, provides data processing services and software development services through long-term contracts primarily to the U.S. telecommunications industry. The telephone marketing services segment, MATRIXX, provides telephone marketing, research, fulfillment, database management, interactive voice response and Internet services. The operations of Cincinnati Bell's long distance, directory services, and equipment supply businesses are included with corporate operations in the Other category. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Cincinnati Bell's Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes. Results for interim periods may not be indicative of results for the full year.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CONSOLIDATED OVERVIEW

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

Cincinnati Bell's consolidated revenues were \$738.1 million compared to \$665.9 million, an increase of 11%. Net income was \$86.5 million compared to a net loss of \$32.6 million in 1995. Earnings per share for the first six months of 1996 were \$1.26 compared to a loss per share of \$.49 for the first six months of 1995.

Results for the first six months of 1996 include \$11.0 million of pension settlement gains from a business restructuring of Cincinnati Bell in 1995. These gains increased net income \$7.0 million and earnings per share \$.10. The results for the first six months of 1995 also include \$132 million of special charges for business restructuring at CBT (\$124.0 million) and Cincinnati Bell (\$8.0 million) and a non-recurring charge of \$2.5 million. The special and non-recurring charges reduced net income by \$85.6 million or \$1.29 per share.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

Revenues increased 9% in 1995 to \$1,336.1 million from \$1,228.2 million in 1994. 1994 revenues increased 12% from 1993. Revenue growth in 1995 was 4% at CBT, 9% at CBIS and 20% at MATRIXX.

Cincinnati Bell's consolidated net loss was \$32.3 million in 1995 compared to net income of \$72.6 million in 1994 and a net loss of \$56.8 million in 1993. 1995 loss per share was \$.49 compared to earnings per share of \$1.11 in 1994 and a loss per share of \$.93 in 1993.

Excluding special items, consolidated net income was \$114.2 million in 1995 or \$1.72 per share, a 44% increase in net income versus 1994. Consolidated net income was \$79.2 million in 1994 or \$1.21 per share and \$50.1 million in 1993 or \$.76 per share.

In 1995, Cincinnati Bell approved a business restructuring plan for CBT and

Cincinnati Bell. The restructuring plan resulted in the need for fewer employees to operate their businesses. Most of the reductions in personnel came from the offering of early retirement incentives. The charges were primarily related to pension enhancements and associated postretirement health care costs for retirees. More than 1,300 employees accepted the early retirement offer including approximately 1,000 hourly workers. Through the end of 1995 approximately 250 management and 450 hourly employees had left as a result of the offer. The remainder will leave through early 1997. New employees are being added in certain areas to support new business efforts. Results in 1995 included after-tax charges of \$84 million for these restructurings.

Results in 1995 also included a \$39.4 million after-tax charge to write down goodwill associated with MATRIXX's French subsidiary, \$8.5 million after tax to terminate an interest rate and currency swap agreement used to hedge the French MATRIXX investment and \$7 million after tax to retire certain long-term debt. Finally, \$4.6 million after tax was charged to in-process research and development on two CBIS acquisitions. (See Note 2 of Notes to Cincinnati Bell's Consolidated Financial Statements incorporated herein by reference to Cincinnati Bell's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 1995 for greater detail on these subjects.) These special items reduced net income in 1995 by \$146.5 million or \$2.21 per share.

13

15

Results in 1994 included an after-tax charge of \$6.6 million or \$.10 per share for special items and a change in accounting for the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 112 for post-employment benefits. (See Note 2 of Notes to Cincinnati Bell's Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the SFAS 112 impact.)

TELEPHONE OPERATIONS

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	1996	1995	% CHANGE 96 VS 95	1995	1994	% CHANGE 95 VS 94	1993	% CHANGE 94 VS 93	
	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)								
Revenues									
Local service.....	\$182.8	\$173.2	6%	\$352.6	\$329.3	7%	\$304.1	8%	
Network access.....	78.9	72.2	9%	142.6	141.0	1%	138.5	2%	
Long distance.....	14.2	17.3	(18)%	33.5	37.2	(10)%	41.4	(10)%	
Other.....	44.8	47.2	(5)%	95.7	92.2	4%	98.1	(6)%	
Total.....	320.7	309.9	3%	624.4	599.7	4%	582.1	3%	
Operating expenses (excluding special items).....	255.5	253.7	1%	508.7	496.6	2%	481.9	3%	
Operating income (excluding special items).....	65.2	56.2	16%	115.7	103.1	12%	100.2	3%	
Special items.....	(11.0)	124.0	--	121.7	3.6	--	6.6	--	
Operating income (loss).....	\$ 76.2	\$(67.8)	--	\$ (6.0)	\$ 99.5	--	\$ 93.6	6%	
Access lines (000).....	927	893	4%	906	877	3%	848	3%	
CBT employees.....	2,800	3,000	(7)%	2,700	3,300	(18)%	3,400	(3)%	
Access lines per CBT employee.....	331	298	11%	336	266	26%	249	7%	
Minutes of use (millions)									
Interstate.....	1,367	1,252	9%	2,536	2,336	9%	2,132	10%	
Intrastate.....	474	473	--	956	932	3%	888	5%	
Total.....	1,841	1,725	7%	3,492	3,268	7%	3,020	8%	

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

Local service revenues increased \$9.6 million for the first six months of 1996 compared to 1995 primarily due to continuing access line growth. Much of the access line growth was attributed to higher installations of second residential lines for home office and on-line computer services. Growth in enhanced custom calling services, central office features, public telephone revenues and new rates approved by the Public Service Commission of Kentucky ("PSC") that became effective in May 1995 accounted for the remainder of the increase. The approval by the PSC maintained uniform rates for basic services

in CBT's Kentucky and Ohio metropolitan areas.

Network access revenues increased \$6.7 million from a 7% growth in access minutes of use, end user charges as a result of access line growth and a change in estimates for potential overearnings liability.

The \$3.1 million decrease in long distance revenues was caused by lower settlement revenues from interexchange carriers and independent companies and a decline in long distance message revenues from the expansion of local service areas in some Northern Kentucky counties in November 1995.

Other telephone operations revenues decreased \$2.4 million. Billing and collection services decreased as more customers are now performing these services in-house. Commission revenue decreased from less sales subject to commissions. An increase in the provision for uncollectible accounts caused a decrease in revenues. Partially offsetting the decreases were higher sales of wiring services and increases in payphone agent revenues because of increased levels of business activity.

Operating expenses were comparable to the first six months of 1995 after excluding special charges and credits for the 1995 business restructuring. Contracted network labor and data processing costs increased as a result of business restructuring projects, many of which started in the second quarter of 1996. Advertising costs were higher from specific planned campaigns including campaigns for new services, second lines and internet

14

16

access. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased particularly as a result of increases in switching, circuit and outside plant assets. Property taxes decreased as a result of a tax law change in Ohio on equipment placed into service after January 1, 1994. The business restructuring has resulted in lower employee-related expenses including payroll and facilities expenses because of headcount levels. However, the level of cost reduction due to wages is less than the headcount reduction as costs have increased concurrently for overtime and temporary help. The extremely wet weather in the Cincinnati area during the second quarter of 1996 contributed to increased labor costs required for repairs.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

Continued record growth in access lines, a full year's effect of the increases in rates in Ohio (which took effect in mid 1994) and new rates approved by the PSCK effective May 1995 increased local service revenues by \$14.8 million in 1995. The remaining increase of \$8.5 million was primarily from increased customer usage of enhanced custom calling services and directory assistance.

Growth in access lines and an increase in rates approved by the PUCO in Ohio effective May 1994 accounted for \$14.7 million of the increase in local service revenues in 1994. Revenues were also higher by \$9.3 million as a result of sales of enhanced custom calling services and increased usage of both directory assistance and public telephone services.

Network access revenues increased in 1995 from access line growth and increased minutes of use, as well as lower support payments to the National Exchange Carrier Association ("NECA").

The increase in interstate network access revenues in 1994 was primarily attributable to the \$6.6 million reduction in 1993 revenues resulting from orders of the FCC. In addition, higher minutes of use and lower support payments to NECA accounted for \$5.5 million of the increase. FCC orders involving overearnings complaints against CBT for the 1987-1988 monitoring period were recorded as a reduction of access revenues in 1993.

Long distance revenues decreased \$3.7 million in 1995 because of lower

settlements with interexchange carriers and independent companies due primarily to decreases in rates. Long distance revenues declined \$4.2 million in 1994 because of lower settlement revenues from independent companies, the effect of a favorable retroactive interexchange carrier adjustment in February 1993 and an interstate message toll rate reduction in January 1994.

Other telephone operations revenues increased \$3.5 million in 1995 from growth in customer premises equipment repairs, payphone agent services for interexchange carriers, voice mail and billing and collection services. The increases were partially offset by lower sales of merchandise and an increased provision for uncollectibles.

In 1994 other telephone operations revenues were lower by \$10.3 million because CBT discontinued its leasing of telecommunications equipment in late 1993 and sold its residential equipment leasing and PhoneCenter store businesses in the first quarter of 1993.

Operating expenses excluding special items increased \$12.1 million in 1995 compared to 1994. Contract services for systems development and other services increased \$10.3 million primarily as a result of the business restructuring. The increase also includes higher depreciation and amortization expenses of \$2.3 million as a result of rate repurchases in Ohio which became effective in July 1994 and higher levels of depreciable plant. Right-to-use fees were lower by \$3.2 million as a consequence of fewer switch conversions and network software upgrades.

Operating expenses excluding special items increased \$14.7 million in 1994. Right-to-use fees for advanced intelligent network software upgrades increased \$3.5 million. Postretirement benefit costs increased \$4.5 million primarily from expensing 1993 costs which were deferred with regulatory approval. Expenses were \$5.2 million higher in 1994 because of a 1993 change in vacation policy which resulted in a one-time expense reduction. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$11.4 million in 1994, with \$9.7 million resulting from depreciation rate repurchases by the Federal and Kentucky authorities effective January 1, 1994, and

the PUCO effective July 1, 1994. In November 1994, CBT presented a separation offer to its senior managers which resulted in \$3.6 million of special charges in 1994.

Partially offsetting the 1994 increase in operating expenses was a \$7.4 million reduction reflecting businesses sold and discontinued and a \$3.7 million decline resulting from lower software costs.

INFORMATION SYSTEMS

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	1996	1995	% CHANGE 96 VS 95	1995	1994	% CHANGE 95 VS 94	1993	% CHANGE 94 VS 93
	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)							
Revenues.....	\$221.4	\$184.7	20%	\$373.9	\$343.8	9%	\$ 356.6	(4)%
Operating expenses (excluding special items).....	185.1	162.0	14%	327.9	316.7	4%	357.9	(12)%
Operating income (loss) (excluding special items).....	36.3	22.7	60%	46.0	27.1	70%	(1.3)	--
Special items.....	--	2.5		7.5	--	--	123.3	--
Operating income (loss).....	\$ 36.3	\$ 20.2	80%	\$ 38.5	\$ 27.1	42%	\$ (124.6)	--

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

Revenues increased \$36.7 million. Data processing revenues contributed \$13.3 million of the increase as a result of strong subscriber growth of

cellular customers. Professional services increased \$11.2 million from an acquisition of a cable software company in the fourth quarter of 1995 and a combination of development opportunities for PCS as well as growth from existing wireless and cable customers. Hardware sales from a recently acquired cable group accounted for an increase in licenses and other fees of \$9.0 million. International revenues grew \$3.2 million from improved contractual agreements.

Costs and expenses increased \$23.1 million excluding special items. Costs associated with new customers resulted in an increase of \$12.4 million. Research and development costs increased \$5.8 million from development activity for billing solutions software. An increase in depreciable assets increased depreciation and amortization expenses by \$1.6 million. Sales, marketing and general and administrative costs increased \$2.6 million primarily as a result of additional business. The remaining increases were due to other direct costs associated with data processing and professional services revenues. A non-recurring charge of \$2.5 million for acquired in-process research and development expense was recorded in the first quarter of 1995.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

CBIS had a strong year of continuing growth and profit progress in 1995, with revenues up 9% and operating income excluding special items up 70%. Strong subscriber growth in the cellular telecommunications market contributed to a 19% domestic revenue growth at CBIS in 1995 to \$343 million, as CBIS generated a record 140 million bills for wireless and wireline telecommunications companies. International revenues were down 50% to \$31 million due to the completion of one contract in early 1995 and the delayed delivery of another contract. All anticipated costs due to the delay were recorded in 1995.

1994 revenues increased by about 20% versus 1993 when 1993's figures are adjusted to exclude operations sold or closed (\$67.4 million). Revenue growth in 1994 was primarily the result of higher data processing and professional services provided to the cellular industry, and professional service contracts with international clients for development of telecommunications solutions.

Operating expenses increased just 4% in 1995 compared with 1994, after excluding special items. CBIS increased research and development spending by 43%, with total 1995 spending of \$32 million (excluding \$7.5 million of acquired research and development). General and administrative expenses decreased in 1995 by \$8.5 million.

Operating expenses increased 9% in 1994 compared to 1993 after excluding \$88.6 million of 1993 expenses related to operations sold or closed and \$102 million in special charges. (See Note 2 of Notes to Cincinnati Bell's Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of special items.) The increase primarily reflected additional production costs of \$28 million to support cellular and wireline billing clients and a \$23 million increase in international contract costs. The higher international costs reflected provisions resulting from CBIS cost estimates exceeding expected revenues on certain long-term contracts.

TELEPHONE MARKETING SERVICES

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	1996	1995	% CHANGE 96 VS 95	1995	1994	% CHANGE 95 VS 94	1993	% CHANGE 94 VS 93	
	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)								
Revenues.....	\$157.4	\$137.1	15%	\$271.1	\$226.1	20%	\$108.2	109%	
Operating expenses (excluding special items).....	138.2	120.3	15%	238.8	203.5	17%	106.2	92%	
Operating income (excluding special items).....	19.2	16.8	14%	32.3	22.6	43%	2.0	--	

Special items.....	--	--	--	39.6	--	--	--	--
Operating income (loss).....	\$ 19.2	\$ 16.8	14%	\$ (7.3)	\$ 22.6	--	\$ 2.0	--

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

Telephone marketing services revenues increased \$20.3 million representing strong growth in the outsourced dedicated services sector, which provided 80% of the growth while traditional telephone marketing services provided 20% of the growth. Industries experiencing the greatest growth were telecommunications and technology.

Costs and expenses increased \$17.9 million, a rate comparable to that of revenues. The increase for the period was principally the result of higher direct labor costs reflecting higher activity and higher wage rates.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

Revenues increased by 20% in 1995 representing strong growth in the outsourced sector and solid growth in the traditional telephone marketing services programs. Total revenues were up \$45 million with 66% of the increase generated from outsourcing sales support and customer service contracts. The balance of the growth came from the more traditional inbound/outbound services and from international operations. Industries with the strongest increases were telecommunications, technology (including DIRECTV(R)) and financial services. In 1995, the growth in outsourced customer service programs was further supported by the opening of a new 500 workstation facility in Salt Lake City.

Revenue growth in 1994 primarily reflects the acquisition of WATS Marketing of America ("WATS") from First Data Corp. in late 1993 as well as internal growth from existing operations. Revenues in 1994 would have increased by 20% if WATS had been part of MATRIXX throughout 1993. The growth was broad-based across all types of services and industries.

Operating expenses excluding special items in 1995 increased at a lower rate than revenues. Cost control efforts among production and staff served to reduce variable and administrative costs as a percentage of sales. The \$35.3 million increase in operating expenses was directly related to the significant increase in revenues. Labor expenses increased \$19.4 million, while telephone, information systems and systems design increases comprised most of the remaining expense increase.

In 1995, special charges related to MATRIXX's French operations of approximately \$39 million for the impairment of goodwill were recognized. While the French business continues to be strategically important to Cincinnati Bell's future, it was determined that projected operating results no longer supported the carrying value of the goodwill.

Operating expenses increased in 1994 due to the inclusion of WATS for a full year and from higher costs of providing services associated with increased revenues. Costs and expenses would have increased only 14% if WATS had been a part of MATRIXX throughout 1993. The increased costs and expenses came primarily from workforce additions and long distance telephone costs.

OTHER

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	1996	1995	% CHANGE 96 VS 95	1995	1994	% CHANGE 95 VS 94	1993	% CHANGE 94 VS 93	
Revenues.....	\$76.2	\$70.2	9%	\$136.6	\$129.6	5%	\$124.4	4%	
Operating expenses (excluding									

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

special items).....	62.4	56.5	10%	107.6	115.4	(7)%	118.3	(2)%
Operating income (excluding special items).....	13.8	13.7	1%	29.0	14.2	104%	6.1	133%
Special items.....	--	8.0	--	9.9	2.1	--	--	--
Operating income.....	\$13.8	\$ 5.7	142%	\$ 19.1	\$ 12.1	58%	\$ 6.1	98%

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

Revenues and expenses increased at a comparable rate for the first six months of 1996. The increases were the result of higher sales volume for the revenues and direct costs associated with increased sales. Costs and expenses for the first quarter of 1995 included special charges of \$8 million at Cincinnati Bell for pension enhancements and associated postretirement health benefits related to employees accepting early retirement incentives.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

Higher sales of used telecommunications equipment, commodities scrap and directory advertising accounted for the 1995 increase in other revenues. Revenues of Cincinnati Bell's long distance business increased \$5 million in 1994 primarily from an increased customer base, higher usage levels, its 800 service and paging and voice mail services.

Operating expenses of the long distance business decreased in 1995 primarily from lower telecommunications network costs and a reduction in Ohio personal property taxes. Operating expenses of the supply business decreased in 1995 as a result of a lower level of provisions for inventory losses than in 1994.

The decrease in operating expenses in 1994 was caused primarily by a decrease in directory expenses and the effect in 1993 of a \$3 million provision for inventory loss in the equipment supply business. Partially offsetting the decreases were increases in costs associated with the growth in the long distance business.

Special items of \$9.9 million recorded in 1995 were primarily for pension enhancements and associated postretirement health benefits related to employees accepting the early retirement incentives and other restructuring costs.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	1996	1995	% CHANGE 96 VS 95	1995	1994	% CHANGE 95 VS 94	1993	% CHANGE 94 VS 93	
Other income (expense), net.....	\$ 4.5	\$.1	--	\$(13.5)	\$ 1.7	--	\$ 9.4	(82)%	

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

The majority of the increase in other income (expense), net is from improved results in 1996 of Cincinnati Bell's investment in a cellular partnership partially offset by a decrease in interest income on temporary cash investments.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

Other income (expense), net decreased in 1995 as a result of certain non-recurring transactions. The 1995 results include a charge of \$13.3 million resulting from the termination by Cincinnati Bell of an interest rate and currency swap agreement in December 1995. Also included is a \$5 million charge

to reduce to market value certain real estate held for sale. Partially offsetting the increased costs was \$5.4 million of additional interest income primarily from temporary cash investments. Income from joint ventures in 1995 increased \$5.6 million over 1994 amounts net of litigation fees. Other increases in 1995 expenses were the result of a higher level of charitable foundation contributions and costs of special projects.

1993 results include a \$9.8 million gain from the sale of CBT's residential equipment leasing and PhoneCenter stores partially offset by a \$4.2 million loss on an investment in an international distributor of CBIS products and services.

INTEREST EXPENSE

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	1996	1995	% CHANGE 96 VS 95	1995	1994	% CHANGE 95 VS 94	1993	% CHANGE 94 VS 93	
Interest expense.....	\$18.0	\$26.0	(31)%	\$52.8	\$49.5	7%	\$ 45.8	8%	

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

The retirement of high cost long-term debt (including an interest rate and currency swap) at Cincinnati Bell in late 1995 and CBT in early 1996 were the principal causes of the decrease in interest expense. The two items resulted in \$8.7 million of lower interest expense for the first six months of 1996 compared with the same period in 1995. The weighted average interest rate decreased from 8.5% at June 30, 1995 (including the swap agreement) to 7.1% at June 30, 1996. Average debt outstanding decreased from \$598 million to \$510 million for the same two periods.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

A combination of higher interest rates on short-term borrowings, additional amounts accrued subject to refund under FCC orders and higher interest costs under the swap agreement were the principal causes of the \$3.3 million increase in interest expense in 1995. Cincinnati Bell's average debt outstanding decreased marginally to \$599 million in 1995 from \$601 million in 1994. The weighted average interest rate increased to 8.5% in 1995 from 8.2% in 1994. Without Cincinnati Bell's swap agreement, the weighted average interest rates would have been 7.7% and 7.4% in 1995 and 1994, respectively. As part of a debt restructuring in 1995, Cincinnati Bell retired \$75 million of 9.1% notes due in 2000 and terminated the swap agreement. Also, CBT called \$40 million of 7.30% notes due in 1996 and \$40 million of 8 5/8% notes due in 1999. The called notes were redeemed at par in January 1996.

Interest expense increased \$3.7 million in 1994 compared to 1993 primarily from long-term refinancing of short-term debt to reduce exposure to short-term interest rate increases.

INCOME TAXES

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	1996	1995	% CHANGE 96 VS 95	1995	1994	% CHANGE 95 VS 94	1993	% CHANGE 94 VS 93	
Income taxes.....	\$47.1	\$(17.4)	--	\$5.7	\$42.1	(86)%	\$1.7	--	

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

First Six Months of 1996 Compared to First Six Months of 1995

Higher income before taxes was the principal reason for the increase in income tax expense. Cincinnati Bell's effective tax rate for the first six months of 1996 was 35.2%, compared to 37.4% for the same period last year. The effective tax rate, excluding 1995 special items, would have been 37.2% for the first six months of 1995. A reduction in state income taxes was the principal reason for the decrease in the effective tax rate from 1995 to 1996.

1995 Compared to 1994 and 1994 Compared to 1993

Cincinnati Bell's effective tax rate for 1995 was 29.3% compared to 35.7% in 1994 and 3.1% in 1993. The 1995 effective tax rate without special items was 35.6%.

Lower pre-tax income was the principal reason for the decrease in income taxes in 1995. The writedown of goodwill in MATRIXX's French subsidiary resulted in losses of \$39 million which did not create income tax benefits. Higher income before taxes was the principal reason for the increase in income taxes in 1994.

The 1993 decrease in income taxes was principally the result of lower income before taxes. The decision to sell CBIS Federal Inc. resulted in losses which did not create income tax benefits (e.g., unrecovered goodwill).

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Capital Investment, Resources and Liquidity

Management believes that Cincinnati Bell has adequate internal and external resources available to finance its on-going operating requirements, including network expansion and modernization, business development and dividend programs. Cincinnati Bell maintains adequate lines of credit with several institutions to provide borrowings as needed for general corporate purposes.

Cash provided by operating activities, which is Cincinnati Bell's primary source of liquidity, was \$91.2 million for the first six months of 1996 and was used primarily to pay for capital expenditures and dividends.

Cincinnati Bell's most significant investing activity for the first six months of 1996 continued to be capital expenditures. Capital expenditures were \$63.5 million, up \$10.5 million from the first six months of 1995. Most of the increase was for a new data center in Orlando, Florida for CBIS and for an operations center in Cincinnati, Ohio for MATRIXX. Partially offsetting the increase were lower expenditures for switching equipment and aerial cable for CBT. Cincinnati Bell continuously evaluates requirements for additional updating of facilities based on customer and market demands, and engineering economics. Due to stronger-than-expected growth in all its businesses, Cincinnati Bell has increased its estimate of 1996 capital expenditures and anticipates spending approximately \$160 million with \$100 million of that total expected for CBT.

Other investing activities in the first six months of 1996 included payments for acquisitions in late 1995 and in the second quarter 1996. Offsetting the acquisition payments was cash received for the disposition of certain real estate. The primary cause of the increase in Common Shares issued during the first half of 1996 was the exercise of 528,000 stock options by Cincinnati Bell employees.

Receivables increased \$15.5 million from December 31, 1995 primarily as a result of increased sales. Contract discounts on telephone usage and extended payment terms with two major clients also contributed to the increase. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities decreased \$30.9 million primarily due to payments for employee-related expenses, a late 1995 acquisition and funding for Cincinnati Bell's charitable foundation. The balance of accrued taxes decreased \$23.3 million primarily due to property tax payments.

Cash provided by operating activities in 1995 was \$196.1 million. Other sources of cash in 1995 resulted from the final note payment for the sale of CBT's PhoneCenter stores and related leasing business and the issuance of shares

under Cincinnati Bell's employee benefit plans. Cash generated internally allowed Cincinnati Bell to fund all of its capital expenditures, pay dividends, make \$31.4 million of acquisitions, and

20

22

reduce net debt (short-term and long-term debt less cash and equivalents) from \$519 to \$510 million. This figure includes \$49.9 million of cash required to terminate the swap agreement and \$11.0 million for costs of early retirement of debt.

Cincinnati Bell's most significant investing activity in 1995 continued to be capital expenditures which were \$115.3 million, down from \$146.7 million in 1994. The majority of Cincinnati Bell's capital expenditures in 1995 (\$90.3 million) were at CBT, and were used primarily for digital equipment, fiber-optic cable and other telephone plant and equipment. Capital expenditures at CBIS and MATRIXX were \$11.1 and \$13.8 million, respectively.

Acquisitions were another significant use of cash in 1995. The acquisitions of Information Systems Development Partnership ("ISD") and X International by CBIS, along with final payments for the 1993 WATS acquisition by MATRIXX, totaled \$31.4 million.

During 1996 and 1995, Cincinnati Bell used a portion of its operating cash flows to restructure its debt by retiring long-term debt, reducing short-term debt and terminating its swap agreement. The debt to capitalization ratio was 48.2% at June 30, 1996, compared with 51.8% and 51.9% at December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively.

In their published ratings, Duff & Phelps, Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's rated Cincinnati Bell's senior unsecured debt at A-, A3 and A- and its commercial paper D-1-, P-2 and A-2, respectively. The published ratings by such agencies of CBT's senior unsecured debt are AA-, Aa3 and AA-, respectively. Duff & Phelps has stated that Cincinnati Bell's long-term and commercial paper ratings and CBT's long-term rating are under review for possible upgrade due to strengthened financial condition. These ratings are not a recommendation to purchase, hold or sell any Common Shares or DECS, and such ratings do not comment as to the marketability of the Common Shares or the DECS, any market price or the suitability of any such investment for a particular investor. There is no assurance that any rating will not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency.

Other Information

A new three-year contract between CBT and the Communications Workers of America was approved in the second quarter of 1996. The contract includes pay increases of 10.5% over the three-year period 1996-1999 with bonus incentives based on service and financial performances. The contract also addresses job security and benefit issues, while providing additional flexibility in the pension plan for hourly employees.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Cincinnati Bell has adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", which became effective for 1996. SFAS 123 requires either the recognition or the pro forma disclosure of compensation expense for stock options and other equity instruments determined by a fair value method of accounting. Cincinnati Bell intends to disclose pro forma net income and earnings per share in the 1996 Annual Report, which will have no effect on its consolidated financial statements.

REGULATORY MATTERS

Telecommunications Competition

Regulatory agencies on the state and federal levels are accelerating

initiatives to increase competition in the telecommunications industry. At the federal level, Congress passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996 in February 1996, which mandates the development of competitive markets. The full impact for CBT will not be known until the FCC and state authorities complete the numerous rulemakings mandated by the Act. See "Risk Factors -- Regulatory and Competitive Trends."

At the state level, the PUCO issued its local exchange competition decision and guidelines in June 1996, which certify competing carriers and establish certain rules that must be complied with by LECs. In July 1996, CBT and other interveners requested that the PUCO reconsider certain parts of the guidelines. On

21

23

July 18, 1996, CBT filed an amendment to its alternative regulation plan with the PUCO. The proposed amendments, if approved, would make CBT's telecommunications network available to would-be competitors for local telephone service. The amendments also would provide CBT with greater pricing and marketing flexibility. In conjunction with these proposed amendments, CBT proposed to reduce its rates to customers by approximately \$2.7 million annually. On September 5, 1996, the PUCO issued an order stating that CBT's July 18, 1996 filing would be processed as a new plan, rather than as an amendment. Since the PUCO has decided to process the filing as a new plan and because of various regulatory developments, CBT is currently considering whether to pursue more extensive revisions to its existing alternative regulation plan.

In preparation for potential competition, CBT is redesigning and streamlining its processes and work activities to improve responsiveness to customer needs, permit more rapid introduction of new products and services, improve the quality of products and services and reduce costs. Telephone plant and network are being upgraded as business judgment dictates. The actions of regulatory agencies may make it more difficult for CBT to maintain current revenue and profit objectives.

Alternative Regulation

CBT requested a threshold increase in rates in an alternative regulation proposal filed with the PUCO in 1993. Thereafter, CBT and the intervenors signed a settlement agreement which was approved by the PUCO on May 5, 1994, increasing revenue by \$11.9 million annually. The alternative regulation commitments and new rates became effective May 6, 1994. CBT's authorized rate of return on capital is 11.18%, but CBT can earn up to 11.93% in a monitoring period without any re-targeting of rates. Earnings higher than 11.93% will trigger a formula which allows for certain rates to be changed in the following monitoring period.

Optional Incentive Regulation

CBT began to operate under an optional incentive regulation plan for interstate services in January 1994. Every two years CBT compares actual return with the authorized rate of return, currently 11.25%. Rate changes and new services can be made on a 14-day notice without cost support if CBT sets rates no higher than a geographically adjacent LEC that operates under price cap regulation. This allows CBT to be more responsive to customers and the market.

Kentucky Filing

In May 1995, the PSCK approved new regulated rates for CBT customers in Kentucky. The order maintained uniform rates for basic services in CBT's Kentucky and Ohio metropolitan service areas. The result was essentially revenue neutral, as local service increases are offset by carrier common line and other rate adjustments. CBT filed for a rehearing of certain issues of the rate order. The PSCK granted a rehearing in February 1996 on the issue of reregulation for inside wire revenues only. The rest of the issues were denied. In June 1996, the PSCK determined that inside wire maintenance revenues should remain nonregulated in the state of Kentucky, upholding CBT's current treatment.

Depreciation Rate Changes

The FCC is required by the Communications Act of 1934 to prescribe the depreciation rates used to compute depreciation expense for communications common carriers. It is the FCC's practice to review and revise CBT's depreciation rates and amortizations once every three years, in conjunction with the PUCO and the PSCK.

In January 1994, CBT completed a triennial depreciation represcription with regulators from the FCC, the PUCO and the PSCK. The new depreciation rates were effective January 1, 1994, in the interstate and Kentucky jurisdictions, and effective July 1, 1994, in the Ohio jurisdiction. Depreciation rate changes are up for discussion again in 1997. It is possible that depreciation rates and depreciation expense will increase as a result of these discussions.

22

24

Effects of Regulatory Accounting

CBT presently gives accounting recognition to the actions of regulators where appropriate as prescribed by SFAS 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation". Criteria that would give rise to the discontinuance of SFAS 71 include (1) increasing competition that restricts CBT's ability to establish prices to recover specific costs, and (2) a significant change in the manner in which rates are set by regulators from cost-based regulation to another form of regulation. CBT believes that its current rate-of-return regulatory plan, under which rates are established that provide for the recovery of the carrying value of its assets, and the absence of any significant current competition in its territory support the continued application of SFAS 71. Uncertainties regarding the future competitive environment and the ultimate form and impact of recently enacted and expected legislative and regulatory initiatives on future revenues will require CBT to review these criteria periodically to evaluate whether continuing application of SFAS 71 is appropriate.

In the event CBT determines that it no longer meets the criteria for following SFAS 71, the accounting impact to CBT could be an extraordinary non-cash charge of an amount that would be material. This would include the elimination of regulatory assets or liabilities and adjusting the carrying amount of telephone plant to the extent it is determined such amounts could be considered overstated as a result of the regulatory process and are not recoverable in future revenues. Asset lives used for future depreciation expense would likely be shorter than those approved by regulators. CBT estimates that if it were to discontinue SFAS 71 any pre-tax charge could be up to \$300 million depending on management's assessment of the competitive environment at the time. Based on its assessment of CBT's current competitive and regulatory environment, Cincinnati Bell believes that the application of SFAS 71 remains appropriate.

BUSINESS OUTLOOK

Cincinnati Bell operates businesses in several different markets under the telecommunications umbrella. All of these markets are becoming more competitive as regulatory barriers recede and the pace of technological change quickens. This quickening pace may increase the variability of Cincinnati Bell's financial results on a period-to-period basis. See "Risk Factors -- Regulatory and Competitive Trends" and "-- Rapidly Changing Technology." Cincinnati Bell has an advantage today because it is the market leader in its three principal businesses -- local telephony in the Greater Cincinnati area, information systems to the telecommunications market and telephone marketing services.

CBT is introducing new services and features to meet the challenges of regulatory actions, competition and the changing market. CBT and AT&T are discussing whether to revise portions of their agreement concerning the joint provision of certain telecommunications services. Revenues subject to discussion represent approximately \$36 million or 6% of CBT's revenues, but portions of the

contract provide above average profit contribution. The outcome cannot be predicted at this time, but could result in a material adverse impact on CBT's earnings.

In 1996, CBIS announced several new contracts and contract extensions. Two contracts were for long-term billing and customer care agreements with prominent PCS companies in the United States. A third contract was for development and data processing services for AT&T's re-entry into the local telephone market. In 1996, CBIS signed contract extensions with Comcast Cellular Corporation ("Comcast Cellular") and with 360 degrees Communications Company (formerly Sprint Cellular Co.) ("360 degrees Communications"). CBIS's contract with Comcast Cellular was extended to 2003 and its contract with 360 degrees Communications was extended to 2006. In both contracts, CBIS will provide customer care and billing services on a service bureau basis. The ultimate value and profitability of these contracts hinge on several factors. First is CBIS's ability to provide cost effective solutions; second is CBIS's ability to maintain and grow the systems as their clients increase their penetration of their markets; third is the market success of CBIS's PCS customers. During all of these activities, CBIS must also continue to satisfy the current needs of its clients with continued service and value. As previously reported in Cincinnati Bell's 1995 Annual Report, one of CBIS's clients, representing approximately 5% of CBIS's revenues, indicated that it may transition to another provider of billing services during 1997.

23

25

In addition to the contracts discussed above, CBIS announced a joint marketing relationship with a company that renders solutions to combat cellular telephone fraud and churn.

The continued trend in the outsourcing of telephone marketing services by major companies is fueling MATRIXX's continued growth. MATRIXX has executed several new long-term agreements with key customers in the past year and continues to expand its facilities for anticipated new business.

Cincinnati Bell's other businesses also face competition from businesses offering similar products and services. These businesses are meeting their competition by addressing the needs of their customers, and offering superior value, quality and service.

Cincinnati Bell continues to review opportunities for acquisitions and divestitures for all its businesses to enhance shareowner value.

24

26

BUSINESS

GENERAL

Cincinnati Bell is a major U.S. diversified telecommunications company with principal businesses in three industry segments. The telephone operations segment, Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company, provides telecommunications services and products, which include local service, network access and toll telephone services in the Greater Cincinnati area. The information systems segment, Cincinnati Bell Information Systems Inc., provides data processing and software development services primarily to the U.S. telecommunications industry. The telephone marketing services segment, MATRIXX Marketing Inc., provides telephone marketing, research, fulfillment, database management, interactive voice response and Internet services primarily to large corporations such as AT&T and DIRECTV(R). Cincinnati Bell's other businesses include: Cincinnati Bell Long Distance Inc. ("CBLD"), which provides resale long distance telecommunications services and products as well as voice mail and paging services; Cincinnati Bell Directory Inc. ("CBD"), which provides Yellow Pages and other directory products and services, as well as information and advertising services; and companies

having interests in cellular mobile telephone service and the purchase, sale and reconditioning of telecommunications and computer equipment.

STRATEGY

The three principal businesses and other interests of Cincinnati Bell are the products of a focused strategy first initiated in 1983 to expand from a local exchange telecommunications company into a broader, more diversified company providing value-added customer care services in high growth and converging communications markets. By leveraging the combined knowledge, capabilities and experience of its principal subsidiaries, Cincinnati Bell seeks to take advantage of the opportunities arising from the growing communications market and the growing trend of outsourcing information and telephone marketing services. Cincinnati Bell seeks to provide unique insight into the customer care requirements of outsourcing clients of both CBIS and MATRIX is enhanced by the knowledge and expertise developed by serving CBT, a full service telecommunications provider.

In addition to the growth opportunities and synergies created by working together, each business -- CBT, CBIS and MATRIX -- has growth strategies in its respective markets. CBT's strategy is to leverage off its well regarded brand name, excellent service record and tradition of quality to be a full service provider of bundled communications, information and entertainment services. CBIS's strategy is to utilize the scale of its data processing operations and its extensive industry knowledge and experience to be the leading provider of customer care and billing services and network provisioning and management systems to the communications industry. MATRIX's strategy is to focus on developing long-term strategic outsourcing relationships for telephone marketing support of large clients in the telecommunications, technology, financial services, consumer products and direct response industries.

CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

General

CBT was founded as The City and Suburban Telegraph Association in 1873, three years before the invention of the telephone. In 1878, CBT became the first telephonic exchange in Ohio and the tenth in the nation.

CBT is the 14th largest local service telecommunications company in the United States, based on its network access lines in service at the end of 1995. In 1995, CBT provided 44% of Cincinnati Bell's revenue and 52% of its operating income excluding special items, compared to 50% and 85%, respectively, in 1993.

CBT provides telecommunications services and products, mainly local service, network access and toll telephone services, to business and residential customers in most of the Greater Cincinnati area, including parts of southwestern Ohio, six counties in northern Kentucky and parts of two counties in southeastern Indiana. Approximately 98% of CBT's network access lines are in one local calling area. The Cincinnati Bell

Telephone brand name is well known among CBT's customers and serves as a foundation for Cincinnati Bell to bundle a broad and increasing range of communications-related products and services.

CBT's service record is among the best in the industry. Its high service quality is affirmed by its excellence in network reliability. Based on reports to the FCC, CBT maintains one of the lowest levels of customer reported service outages among large U.S. telecommunications companies in the nation. For example, in 1995 CBT averaged only 1.1 trouble reports per 100 customer lines per month, compared to reported rates at RBOCs ranging from 1.3 to 2.7 during the same period. In the face of increased access line growth and orders for additional lines, CBT maintains an excellent record for the installation appointments it keeps, and for the percentage of new service orders it completes

within five days of a request.

Since the beginning of 1990, CBT has invested more than \$650 million to upgrade and modernize its plant and equipment with the most modern technology available. Of its network access lines, 89% are served by digital switches, 93% have ISDN capability and 98% have Signaling System 7 capability, which supports enhanced features such as Caller ID, Call Trace and Call Return.

With the benefit of advanced technology, CBT has been able to serve a growing market with fewer employees. During the first quarter of 1995, CBT implemented initiatives aimed at improving service to its customers and reducing costs, resulting in a \$124 million special charge for restructuring. During 1995, the number of CBT employees declined by over 18% to 2,700 at year-end. CBT has been able to achieve cost reductions and productivity improvements through elimination of duplicative services and procedures and consolidation of administrative functions. This has resulted in an increase in the number of access lines per employee from 249 on December 31, 1993 to 331 on June 30, 1996.

Business

On June 30, 1996, CBT had approximately 927,000 network access lines in service, an increase of 4% or approximately 34,000 lines from June 30, 1995. During 1995, CBT added approximately 29,000 new lines, representing an annual increase of 3%. Approximately 70% of CBT's network access lines serve residential customers and 30% serve business customers. The growth in additional access lines to existing residential customers has been particularly strong at CBT over the last several years as customers add lines for home offices, on-line services and increased household telephone usage. In 1995, such additional residential lines accounted for over 50% of the total residential lines added during the year. As of June 30, 1996, CBT had installed additional residential access lines to approximately 8% of its existing residential customers. CBT expects continued strong growth in additional residential lines.

Approximately 89% of CBT's network access lines are served by digital switches that facilitate the transmission of voice, video and data content across CBT's network. The network also includes more than 1,200 miles of fiber-optic cable, including seven rings of cable equipped with SONET technology linking Cincinnati's downtown and other major business centers. These SONET rings offer increased reliability and redundancy to CBT's major business customers.

Other communications services offered by CBT include voice, data and video transmission, custom calling services and billing services. In addition, CBT is a sales agent for certain products and services of AT&T and sells products of other companies as a full-service provider of communications products and services to business customers. In September 1996, CBT began selling and installing direct broadcast satellite ("DBS") services and equipment in its Cincinnati market under an agreement with DIRECTV(R), United States Satellite Broadcasting Co. and certain DBS equipment vendors. In March 1996, CBT became one of the first local exchange telephone companies in the nation to introduce an Internet access service for its residential and small business customers. CBT also has introduced high-capacity local area network interconnection services and ISDN services. These new services demonstrate CBT's ability to innovate and adapt to emerging trends in telecommunications.

Local services generated approximately 56% of CBT's revenues in 1995 while the increasingly competitive network access and toll services generated only 28% of CBT's 1995 revenues. This represents a smaller percentage of total revenues than that received by most of the nation's largest local exchange telephone

companies. The remainder of CBT's revenues come from other communications services, including commissioned sales, maintenance and repair services as well as billing services.

Market

CBT serves a 2,400 square-mile market encompassing most of the Greater Cincinnati area, which had a total population of approximately 1.5 million in 1990, including 656,000 households. Its regional economy is strong and diverse, including six locally headquartered Fortune 500 companies.

Several companies compete or are planning to compete with CBT through the provision of intraLATA long-distance services, enhanced calling services such as voice messaging, customer premises maintenance and repair services, wireless communications services, special access services, public telephone services and business communications equipment sales and maintenance services. See "Competition."

Opportunities

CBT plans to develop new products and services and market them in ways that leverage its well regarded brand name, large installed customer base, reputation for service quality, communications industry knowledge and experience and extensive knowledge of its customers' preferences. CBT also will pursue co-branding opportunities and alliances with other service providers where appropriate.

CBT will seek to increase its penetration of additional residential lines within its service area. In addition, CBT has an opportunity to increase the market penetration rate of higher margin enhanced services such as Caller ID, Call Return, Call Block and 3-Way Calling.

Under Cincinnati Bell's strategy of pursuing opportunities for growth by leveraging the strengths of all of its businesses, and under CBT's own strategy to be a full service provider of communications services, Cincinnati Bell has unique strengths that could be effective in marketing a broad array of communications services outside of CBT's existing service territory. Cincinnati Bell is exploring such opportunities, both on its own or in partnership with other communications services companies.

Regulation

CBT's local exchange, network access and toll telephone operations are regulated by the PUCO, the PSCK and the FCC with respect to rates, services and other matters.

Recently enacted and future legislative and regulatory initiatives will have an impact on CBT and other incumbent LECs, including the RBOCs and other independent telephone companies. The extent of that impact will not be known until the initiatives are fully implemented. The basic thrust of these initiatives is to encourage and accelerate the development of competition in the telecommunications industry by removing legal barriers to competition across major segments of that industry. Under the initiatives, companies that today are limited to one or more of those segments, including local exchange, long distance, wireless, cable television and information services, could enter the other segments to compete with the incumbent providers and other new entrants.

Today's technology makes it possible to interconnect facilities of competing telecommunications carriers and to provide the service offerings of multiple competitors through the network facilities of one or more incumbents. At the federal level, the Act passed in February 1996 requires incumbent LECs like CBT to interconnect with the networks of other service providers, unbundle certain network elements and make them available to competing providers at wholesale rates. Additionally, the Act requires the removal of other perceived barriers to competitive entry by alternative providers of local exchange services. Although the Act clearly states these mandates, it does so in general terms and leaves the implementation of these mandates to the FCC and the state regulatory agencies.

On August 8, 1996, the FCC issued an order establishing regulations to implement the "local competition" provisions of the Act. These regulations essentially establish parameters under which a LEC must allow other

telecommunications carriers to interconnect with its network, including the compensation

27

29

that a LEC would receive for terminating calls originating from the networks of the other carriers. The FCC's regulations also establish parameters under which LECs must unbundle network elements and offer them to other telecommunications carriers. The prices for interconnection and unbundled elements either are to be negotiated between the parties (and approved by the relevant state commission) or, if the parties fail to reach an agreement, the rates are to be set by the relevant state commission based on guidelines established by the Act and implemented by the FCC. Under the Act, these rates must be based on the cost of providing the interconnection or unbundled elements, be nondiscriminatory and include a reasonable profit. The FCC has determined that the prices for these unbundled elements and interconnection are to be based on a methodology governed by forward-looking, long-run incremental costs. The Act also requires LECs to offer to other telecommunications carriers, at wholesale rates, any retail telecommunications service offered by the LEC to end-users. The FCC has determined that the wholesale rates are to be based on the LEC's retail rates, less the costs avoided by the LEC in offering its services for resale.

CBT and several other LECs believe the FCC's regulations with respect to interconnection, unbundling and resale unlawfully exceed the requirements of the Act. Accordingly, they are seeking review of the FCC's order in the United States Court of Appeals. On September 27, 1996, the Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit issued a temporary stay preventing implementation of the order pending arguments on whether to extend the stay through the resolution of the appeals. Oral argument on the question of whether the temporary stay should be continued pending appeal was heard by the Court on October 3, 1996. To date, no decision on the continuance of the temporary stay has been issued. The primary objections raised by CBT and certain other LECs are that the pricing standards established by the FCC for interconnection, unbundling and resale will not provide them with adequate compensation.

If the FCC's order were implemented as written, and if CBT were unable to obtain waivers to certain requirements or to replace its lost revenues, Cincinnati Bell believes that the result would have a material adverse impact on its revenues and earnings. The material impact would result from the elimination of certain revenues designed to subsidize residential telephone service and increased costs to develop or modify systems to allow number portability and interconnection. CBT also believes that implementation of the FCC order would significantly enhance the position of its competitors, which would have an additional adverse impact on CBT's revenues and earnings from operations within its territory.

The outcome of three separate, but related, FCC proceedings could be significant for CBT. In the first of these proceedings, the FCC will be implementing a universal service funding mechanism which is currently being developed by a joint board made up of state and federal regulators. In the second of these proceedings, the FCC will be reforming the current access charge regime, which could result in an additional reduction in revenues. In the third, the FCC will be implementing regulations that may require certain LECs to share their infrastructure, technology, information and facilities with certain smaller telecommunications service providers.

At the state level, the PUCO recently adopted a set of local service guidelines that largely mirror the requirements of the Act and the FCC regulations discussed above. In addition, the PUCO has issued an order granting Time Warner Communications of Ohio, L.P. a certificate of public convenience and necessity to provide local exchange service in CBT's operating territory. Other entities have been granted certificates to provide basic local exchange service in Ohio, although not in CBT's operating territory. CBT has sought rehearing of the local service guidelines in accordance with the PUCO's administrative procedures, and will consider further review by the Ohio Supreme Court if the local service guidelines are not appropriately modified on rehearing. If CBT is

unsuccessful in these efforts, Cincinnati Bell believes that CBT will face increased competition which may have a material adverse effect on its operating results.

The impact of the proposed regulatory changes may be mitigated through modification of the final rules, waivers of the rules and price increases in other regulated services (e.g., local rates).

28

30

CINCINNATI BELL INFORMATION SYSTEMS INC.

General

CBIS was formed in 1983 to leverage Cincinnati Bell's knowledge and expertise in data processing and billing for the telecommunications industry. CBIS provides data processing services and software systems that generate billing information and manage customer information for communications services businesses. CBIS's customers are large corporations in the U.S. telecommunications industry. CBIS accounted for approximately 27% of the Cincinnati Bell's 1995 consolidated revenues and 21% of total operating income excluding special items. During the first six months of 1996, CBIS's revenue and operating income excluding special items increased 20% and 60%, respectively, compared to the first six months of 1995.

CBIS is the leading provider of billing and customer care services to the wireless telecommunications market in North America, which includes the cellular as well as the PCS industry. The cellular industry has been growing in excess of 30% per year in terms of revenues and subscribers. CBIS has been the market leader of billing systems to the cellular industry for more than ten years and serves many of the top cellular carriers. CBIS's systems generate bills for cellular telephone customers in 23 of the 25 largest U.S. metropolitan areas. CBIS's service bureaus generated the billing information for monthly customer statements for approximately 30% of U.S. cellular subscribers in 1995. CBIS's revenue from cellular clients increased from \$144 million in 1993 to \$198 million in 1994 and to \$257 million in 1995.

CBIS also provides billing and customer care services to companies that operate traditional wireline telecommunications networks, including CBT. It develops network management systems for communications companies and customer care and billing systems for cable television systems operators in the U.S. and Europe. CBIS's systems also support the provision of telephone services by cable television system operators in the U.S. and in Europe. CBIS recently began to offer service bureau billing services to the cable television industry.

In September 1996, CBIS acquired ICS Information Systems ("ICS"), an international provider of wireline customer care and billing solutions, from WorldCom, Inc. In December 1995, CBIS acquired IDS, a developer of advanced billing systems for the cable television industry. In March 1995, CBIS acquired X International, an established information technology company located in Bristol, England, that provides customer care and billing software for a wide range of telecommunications companies utilizing the Global System for Mobile Communications ("GSM") standard.

CBIS's headquarters are in Cincinnati, Ohio. It has major operations in Ohio, Florida, Illinois, Georgia and Virginia. It also has operations in the United Kingdom, Switzerland and The Netherlands.

Business

CBIS serves clients principally by processing data and creating bills using proprietary software. CBIS provides and manages billing systems in a service bureau environment where its extensive experience results in significant cost and service advantages to clients. These advantages include freeing the client to concentrate on core competencies, predictable costs, information management expertise and access to advanced technology without capital expense.

CBIS's data processing services are carried out in its data centers in Cincinnati and Orlando. It uses information from communications service providers to calculate and generate bills for the usage of communications services, generally on a monthly cycle. CBIS strives to provide state-of-the-art systems and facilities that provide reliability and responsiveness. CBIS's systems select the correct plan for each customer from the thousands of pricing plans provided by its clients. These systems generate billing information for more than 12 million bills per month, including approximately 700,000 bills generated for CBT, based on each customer's billing preferences. CBIS's computers process over 140 million transactions, including transactions for CBT, per month. CBIS's revenue from this business is determined in large part by the number of bills it produces and the number of accounts it manages.

In the wireless industry, pricing plans are complex and change frequently. Customers of CBIS's clients frequently change service plans and service providers. Additionally companies in the wireless industry are

29

31

growing rapidly. CBIS's ability to manage this change and growth successfully is an important factor in its success.

CBIS also updates pricing plans and customer records for its clients and makes customer information available to clients on-line, helping these clients better manage their relationships with their telecommunications customers. CBIS typically is compensated at an hourly rate for these and other consulting services.

Most of CBIS's services are provided under contracts for terms of two to ten years, certain of which may be terminated at specified times prior to expiration with prior written notice. CBIS's four largest clients, other than CBT, are AT&T, 360 degrees Communications, Ameritech Corporation and Comcast Cellular, which collectively accounted for approximately 70% of CBIS's 1995 revenues. Several multi-year contracts cover essentially all of CBIS's relationships with AT&T businesses, including its contract with AT&T Wireless for the provision of wireless customer care and billing services through 1999. In 1996, CBIS signed contract extensions with Comcast Cellular and with 360 degrees Communications. CBIS's contract with Comcast Cellular was extended to 2003 and its contract with 360 degrees Communications was extended to 2006. Other CBIS customers include selected cable television systems owned by Time Warner Inc. and Cox Communications, Inc. and the public telecommunications services providers in Switzerland and The Netherlands. Some clients, including all of CBIS's current cable television clients, have purchased CBIS software to operate in their own data centers. CBIS recently introduced service bureau billing as an option for its cable television clients.

CBIS's systems development and support are dependent on its ability to attract and retain its professional staff. There can be no assurance that CBIS's labor costs will not increase in the future.

Markets

An industry study and CBIS's own analysis estimate that the domestic market for billing and customer care services used by the communications industry was greater than \$4 billion in 1995. This figure includes the estimated cost of customer care and billing services used by wireless, wireline and cable television services providers, including services they provide to themselves.

The cellular industry's subscriber base was approximately 34 million at the end of 1995. At the end of 1995, CBIS's data centers generated billing information for more than 10 million monthly customer statements for cellular subscribers. Billing and customer care for cellular and cellular-related telecommunications services in North America accounted for more than 70% of CBIS's 1995 total revenue.

Opportunities

The wireless communications industry also includes a number of emerging services, including PCS, which uses digital technologies to increase the range of features, service quality and operating efficiency of mobile communications services. Increased competition in the communications industry should increase the opportunities for CBIS.

CBIS recently entered into contracts to provide customer care and billing services to three of the largest potential providers of PCS services in the United States based on both issued and projected license awards. In March 1996, PrimeCo Personal Communications L.P. ("PrimeCo"), a wireless partnership among AirTouch Communications, Inc., Bell Atlantic Corporation, NYNEX Corporation, and U S WEST Media Group, announced that it had chosen CBIS to be its exclusive customer care and billing solutions provider. PrimeCo owns PCS licenses covering approximately 57 million net POPs (potential customers adjusted for equity ownership) and is ranked as the third largest owner of PCS A and B block licenses. In July 1996, CBIS signed an exclusive customer care and billing contract with Sprint Spectrum L.P., a wireless partnership among Sprint Corporation, Tele-Communications, Inc. ("TCI"), Comcast Cellular and Cox Communications, Inc. Sprint Spectrum L.P. owns PCS licenses covering approximately 195 million net POPs and is ranked as the largest owner of PCS A and B block licenses. Additionally, CBIS has an agreement with AT&T to provide customer care and billing services to AT&T for PCS services. AT&T Wireless owns PCS licenses covering approximately 114 million net POPs and is ranked as the second largest owner of PCS A and B block licenses.

These new PCS contract awards coupled with CBIS's existing cellular billing contracts position CBIS to be a leading provider of customer care and billing services to a much broader wireless services industry if its clients are successful in PCS and other wireless services businesses.

30

32

In March 1996, CBIS also announced a five-year contract with AT&T to provide billing, data processing and software development and professional consulting services in connection with AT&T's proposed reentry into the local telephone market as either a reseller or a facilities-based provider of local exchange services. AT&T is registering to offer these services in all 50 states of the United States and is negotiating for resale agreements with selected LECs. As with PCS, the benefits to CBIS from this contract will depend in part upon the success of AT&T in meeting its objectives in this new venture.

On September 19, 1996, CBIS signed a three-year contract with a unit of TCI, the largest cable television operator in the U.S. based on total subscribers, to provide customer care and billing services in support of TCI's planned offering of telephone services to its cable television customers. CBIS's data center will provide rating (bill calculation), service order entry and bill finishing services to TCI.

MATRIX MARKETING INC.

General

Based on annual revenues, MATRIX is a leader among independent providers of outsourced telephone marketing services. MATRIX provides a full range of customer service, sales support and telephone marketing solutions to major companies in its targeted industries. In 1995, MATRIX accounted for approximately 20% of Cincinnati Bell's consolidated revenue and 14% of total operating income excluding special items. MATRIX recorded revenues of \$271.1 million and operating income excluding special items of \$32.3 million in 1995, representing increases of 20% and 43%, respectively, when compared to 1994. During the first six months of 1996, MATRIX's revenue and operating income excluding special items increased by 15% and 14%, respectively, when compared to the first six months of 1995.

MATRIXX principally focuses on developing long-term, strategic outsourcing relationships with large clients in the telecommunications, technology, financial services, consumer products and direct response industries. MATRIXX focuses on clients in these industries because of the complexity of the service required, the anticipated growth of their businesses and their continuing need for customer service support. Often, the level of support these companies require and the close relationships they build with MATRIXX lead to higher returns versus short-term client programs. For example, MATRIXX built a team of sales account managers who are the dedicated sales channel to a consumer products company's retail and wholesale accounts. MATRIXX's team manages the company's day-to-day relationships with those accounts. This extension of the company's sales organization allows for more frequent customer contact at a lower cost. The dedicated team also assists the company in its marketing efforts through database management, product movement reports and market trends analysis.

Many MATRIXX employees who respond to inbound customer service calls are dedicated to serving a single client. Employees supporting DIRECTV(R) satellite entertainment services, for example, answer calls to initiate service or to provide information about programming options, billing and technical aspects of the service, including installing customers' own satellite dishes. For other clients, MATRIXX provides help desk support for computer products and services and responds to customer inquiries submitted via the Internet.

MATRIXX operates 14 domestic and 2 international call centers with approximately 5,900 available workstations and approximately 10,000 customer call representatives, including full-time and part-time employees. MATRIXX facilities handled more than 150 million customer calls in 1995.

MATRIXX is headquartered in Cincinnati. It operates domestic call centers in Ohio, Utah, Colorado, Arizona, Wisconsin, Nebraska and Florida and international call centers in Paris, France and Newcastle, England.

Business

MATRIXX provides two categories of telephone marketing services. Traditional services offer large shared capacities for large sales campaigns and major direct response programs. Outsourced dedicated services require dedicated agents to handle a specific company's more complex customer service and sales account management needs. Complementary services to its traditional and outsourced dedicated services are interactive voice response, Internet E-mail response, research, database management and fulfillment. Based

on 1995 revenues, approximately 70% of MATRIXX's business involved responding to inbound calls from customers of its clients. MATRIXX considers its industry focus and differentiation of service offerings to be a competitive strength.

Dedicated customer call representative teams and call centers support large telephone marketing services programs for clients. Many of these centers are linked to provide optimal call routing, capacity matching and redundancy in order to best meet the needs of the client. MATRIXX relies on advanced information systems, including proprietary software, and integrated telephone systems to effectively meet client expectations. MATRIXX customer service representatives receive initial training and on-the-job support to develop calling skills and knowledge of clients' products and services. MATRIXX's service offerings are very labor intensive and dependent in part on its ability to minimize personnel turnover. MATRIXX also competes for qualified personnel with other employers in their geographic markets. There can be no assurance that MATRIXX will be able to hire and retain a sufficient number of qualified personnel in a cost-efficient manner to support continued growth.

MATRIXX's client base primarily includes large companies in the telecommunications, technology, financial services, consumer products and direct response industries. MATRIXX's largest customers in 1995 were AT&T, DIRECTV(R)

and American Express Company, which collectively accounted for approximately 38% of 1995 revenues.

Market

Telephone marketing services include consumer and business telephone-based customer service and sales programs. Historically, companies maintained such customer care functions in-house because they believed that a direct relationship with the customer was good business policy and because there were few outsourcing alternatives. As the size and complexity of these functions have grown, increasing numbers of companies have chosen to outsource some or all of these activities in order to focus on their core businesses, reduce costs and improve operational efficiency. Telephone marketing services companies such as MATRIBX are able to provide these services in a higher quality, lower cost manner, which in many cases results in a competitive advantage for MATRIBX's clients. In addition, telephone marketing services companies often can provide a client with current, detailed information on its customers and their purchasing decisions.

According to a Strategic Telemedia Study, the U.S. agency market for outsourced telephone marketing services, including automated services, was over \$6 billion in 1995. In addition, industry sources suggest that a considerably larger volume of telephone marketing services was managed and operated internally, through dedicated in-house call centers. MATRIBX believes that corporations will outsource an increasingly larger percentage of such telephone marketing services, further fueling the growth of the market for outsourced telephone marketing services.

MATRIBX segments the market for telephone marketing services into traditional and outsourced dedicated programs. Traditional programs involve shared agents who handle shorter campaign-oriented calls. Outsourced dedicated programs involve agents who handle larger and more complex calls for long-term clients thereby providing added value. Many programs now include an automated and interactive voice response component in addition to live agents. MATRIBX recently entered the interactive and voice response market through its acquisition of certain assets of Scherers Communications, Inc. in August 1996.

The principal drivers of overall telephone marketing services market growth are expected to be the increasing use of targeted marketing strategies by companies, the effectiveness of programs that involve frequent one-on-one contact as a means of enhancing customer loyalty and the lower cost of sales and marketing over the phone compared to other customer service methods. Additionally, as companies seek to achieve greater strategic focus and operating efficiency, a greater percentage are expected to seek to outsource telephone-based customer care services and sales coverage programs. Cincinnati Bell believes that MATRIBX is well positioned to capture significant amounts of this business, because of their ability to provide the marketing expertise and technological resources required to deal with increasingly complex customer interactions.

32

34

Opportunities

MATRIBX believes that there are significant opportunities to grow its business, in light of the growth of telephone marketing services as a communications medium and the trend to outsource customer service and sales coverage programs. Companies now realize that they can improve customer service levels and increase sales while reducing costs. In addition, services developed with other Cincinnati Bell companies are being offered to existing and potential MATRIBX clients. For example, MATRIBX and CBT worked together to develop MATRIBX's help desk support service for CBT's new FUSE(R) Internet access service, a support service MATRIBX is offering to other third-party clients. CBIS is also collaborating with MATRIBX to provide data processing services and enhanced customer management software as well as jointly offering end-to-end value-added solutions for communications providers.

MATRIX believes that its focus and expertise in the telecommunications, technology, financial services, consumer products and direct response industries provide it with a competitive advantage in developing additional relationships in these industries. These industries include many large corporations with large and often complex telephone marketing service needs. In addition, MATRIX believes its scale and expertise in inbound calling provide it with an advantage in winning new business from companies currently relying on in-house telephone marketing service operations.

MATRIX will actively seek out opportunities to expand its product offerings and client base through internal development and strategic acquisitions.

Regulation

Various federal and state legislative initiatives have been enacted to regulate primarily outbound telephone marketing services, especially calls to consumers. Since MATRIX concentrates on inbound service and outbound business-to-business telephone marketing services, MATRIX does not believe that such legislation adversely affects its business presently. However, there can be no assurance that future legislation will not have an expanded scope and restrict MATRIX's ability to conduct its business.

OTHER BUSINESSES

Cincinnati Bell Long Distance resells long distance telecommunications services and products as well as voice mail and paging services principally to residential and business customers in Ohio and several adjoining states. Its principal market focus is small- and medium-sized businesses, particularly businesses with two to twenty business access lines in service. CBLD augments its high-quality long-distance services with calling plans, network features and enhanced calling services to create customized packages of communications services for its clients.

Cincinnati Bell Directory provides Yellow Pages and other directory products and services as well as related information and advertising services. Its principal products are a White Pages directory and nine Yellow Pages directories. CBD continually evaluates new product offerings in both the print and emerging electronic categories of distribution.

Cincinnati Bell Supply ("Supply") purchases, sells and reconditions telecommunications and computer equipment. Its principal market is the secondary market for used and surplus telecommunications systems, including AT&T-brand systems.

Cincinnati Bell also owns a 45% limited partnership interest in a cellular telephone service business that covers much of central and southwestern Ohio, northern Kentucky and small portions of southeastern Indiana. Cincinnati Bell's proportionate share of this cellular market represents approximately 2.3 million POPs. In 1994, Cincinnati Bell filed suit in Chancery Court in Delaware against the partnership's general partner seeking to dissolve the partnership and reclaim Cincinnati Bell's proportionate share of the partnership's assets. On September 3, 1996, the Court denied Cincinnati Bell's motion for summary judgment and granted the general partner's motion for summary judgment. Cincinnati Bell has appealed the ruling to the Delaware Supreme Court.

COMPETITION

Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company

CBT is currently the sole provider of basic local switched wireline telecommunications services in its market. Competitors include providers of special access services, wireless communications services, enhanced calling

services such as voice messaging services and providers of business communications equipment and services.

Evolving technology, the preferences of consumers and policy makers, and the convergence of other industries with the telecommunications industry are causes for increasing competition in the telecommunications industry. The range of communications services, the equipment available to provide and access such services and the number of competitors offering such services continue to increase. That increase expands the means by which CBT's network may be bypassed. Furthermore, recently enacted legislative and regulatory initiatives and additional regulatory developments that are expected in the near future are likely to encourage and accelerate the development of competition in all segments of the telecommunications industry by removing legal barriers to competition across segments of that industry. These initiatives and developments could make it more difficult for CBT to maintain current revenue and profit objectives. See "Risk Factors -- Regulatory and Competitive Trends -- Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company."

In the future, CBT expects to compete with other providers of local exchange telecommunications services, and communications-based entertainment and information services. Local exchange telecommunications competitors will include other major local exchange telecommunications companies, wireless services providers, interexchange carriers, competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") and others. Time Warner Communications of Ohio, L.P. is the only other company currently certified to offer switched local exchange service in CBT's Greater Cincinnati market.

Cincinnati Bell Information Systems Inc.

Competition in the information services market is based primarily on product quality, performance, price and the quality of client service. CBIS's competitors include large firms with size and capabilities equal to or greater than CBIS as well as potential competitors from other markets similar to those served by CBIS. Major competitors of CBIS include Alltel Corporation, EDS Systems Corp., American Management Systems Inc. and Andersen Consulting Group. Niche players or new entrants could also capture a segment of the information services market by developing new systems or services which could impact CBIS's market potential. In addition, CBIS's clients and potential clients are generally large companies with substantial resources and the capability to provide needed services for themselves rather than outsourcing such services. Faced with increasing competition, there can be no assurance that CBIS can maintain its future growth at the same rate as that experienced in the past several years.

CBIS believes that it can provide superior service to its clients, because of its extensive knowledge of the telecommunications industry, its constant technological and service enhancements, its information systems capabilities and resources and the quality of its client service. As telecommunications customer care and billing becomes more complex, telecommunications providers are increasingly considering customer billing services as an opportunity to differentiate themselves from competitive service providers. CBIS believes that its ability to maintain a leadership position in the technological development of billing systems will be critical to providing its clients with competitively priced high quality services.

MATRIX Marketing Inc.

The telephone marketing services industry in which MATRIX competes is extremely competitive and highly fragmented. MATRIX competes with the in-house telephone marketing services operations of its current and potential clients, other large telephone marketing services companies such as APAC TeleServices, Inc., AT&T American Transtech, ITI Marketing Services Inc., SITEL Corporation, TeleTech Holdings, Inc., West Telemarketing Corp., Precision Response Corporation and numerous other small companies. MATRIX also competes with alternative marketing media such as television, radio and direct mail advertising. MATRIX differentiates itself from competitors based on its size and scale, selective industry and client focus, financial and technical resources and business reputation.

MATRIXIX believes that the principal competitive factors in the telephone marketing and related marketing services industry are reputation for quality, sales and marketing skills, price, technological expertise and the ability to promptly provide clients with customized solutions to their customer service, sales and marketing needs. The competitive marketplace could begin to place pressure on MATRIXIX's ability to achieve its goals. There can be no assurance that MATRIXIX will be able to achieve the growth and financial results that it has had in the past several years.

Other Businesses

Cincinnati Bell's other businesses face intense competition in their markets, principally from larger companies. They primarily seek to differentiate themselves by providing existing customers with superior service and by focusing on niche markets and opportunities to develop and market customized packages of services. CBLD's competitors include other interexchange carriers and selected local telecommunications services companies. CBD's competitors are other directory services companies, and newspapers and other media advertising services providers in its region. Supply's competitors include a number of larger and smaller vendors of new and used communications and computer equipment, operating regionally and across the nation.

MANAGEMENT

Set forth below is certain information concerning directors and certain executive officers of Cincinnati Bell. Each director holds office (subject to Cincinnati Bell's Amended Regulations) until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until his or her successor has been elected and qualified. The information concerning the directors has been furnished by them to Cincinnati Bell.

NAME	AGE	TITLE
Charles S. Mechem, Jr.....	66	Director and Chairman of the Board
John T. LaMacchia.....	55	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer
James F. Orr.....	50	Director and Chief Operating Officer
David S. Gergacz.....	47	Executive Vice President of Cincinnati Bell and President and Chief Executive Officer of CBT
Brian C. Henry.....	39	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
William H. Zimmer III.....	42	Secretary and Treasurer
William D. Baskett III.....	57	General Counsel and Chief Legal Officer
David F. Dougherty.....	40	President and Chief Executive Officer of MATRIXIX
Robert J. Marino.....	49	President and Chief Executive Officer of CBIS
John F. Barrett.....	47	Director
Phillip R. Cox.....	49	Director
William A. Friedlander.....	64	Director
Roger L. Howe.....	61	Director
Robert P. Hummel, M.D.....	68	Director
James D. Kiggen.....	64	Director
Mary D. Nelson.....	63	Director
David B. Sharrock.....	60	Director

CHARLES S. MECHEM, JR., Director of Cincinnati Bell since December 1995 and Chairman of Cincinnati Bell since April 22, 1996; Chairman of the Executive Committee and the Nominating Committee; Commissioner Emeritus, Ladies Professional Golf Association ("LPGA") (women's professional sports organization). Retired from LPGA in December 1995, following a five-year tenure. Chairman of U.S. Shoe Corporation from April 1993 to May 1995. Retired Chairman & CEO of Taft Broadcasting Company (1967-1990). Director of AGCO, Mead

Corporation, Ohio National Life Insurance Company, J. M. Smucker Company, Star Banc Corp. and Star Bank, N.A.

JOHN T. LAMACCHIA, President and Chief Executive Officer of Cincinnati Bell since October 1, 1993; President of Cincinnati Bell since January 1, 1988; Chairman of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company since November 1993; Chairman of Cincinnati Bell Information Systems Inc. since October 1988; Chief Operating Officer of Cincinnati Bell, 1988-1993. Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1985; member of the Executive Committee. Director of Burlington Resources, Inc. (oil and gas production). Director of The Kroger Co. (food retailer).

JAMES F. ORR, Director and Chief Operating Officer of Cincinnati Bell since September 16, 1996; Executive Vice President of Cincinnati Bell, June 1, 1995 -- September 16, 1996; President and Chief Executive Officer of Cincinnati Bell Information Systems Inc., January 1, 1995 -- September 16, 1996; Chief Operating Officer of CBIS, February 4, 1994 -- December 31, 1994; President and Chief Executive Officer of MATRIX Marketing Inc., January 1, 1993 -- December 31, 1994; Vice President -- Market Development, January 1, 1989 -- December 31, 1992.

DAVID S. GERGACZ, Executive Vice President of Cincinnati Bell since August 1, 1995; President and Chief Executive Officer of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company since August 1, 1995. President and Chief Executive Officer of Rogers Communications/Cantel, 1993-1995; President and Chief Executive Officer of

36

38

Boston Technology 1991-1993; President and Chief Operating Officer of Network Systems Division of U.S. Sprint, 1988-1991.

BRIAN C. HENRY, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Cincinnati Bell since March 29, 1993; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mentor Graphics, February 1986 to March 28, 1993.

WILLIAM H. ZIMMER III, Secretary and Treasurer of Cincinnati Bell since August 1, 1991; Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of Cincinnati Bell, December 1, 1988 -- July 31, 1991. Trustee of Star Funds, an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), since February 1996.

WILLIAM D. BASKETT III, General Counsel and Chief Legal Officer of Cincinnati Bell since July 1993; Partner of Frost & Jacobs since 1970.

DAVID F. DOUGHERTY, President and Chief Executive Officer of MATRIX Marketing Inc. since January 1, 1995; Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer U.S. Operations, January 1, 1993 -- December 31, 1994; President of the Consumer Division, January 1, 1991 -- December 31, 1992.

ROBERT J. MARINO, President and Chief Executive Officer, of Cincinnati Bell Information Systems Inc. since September 16, 1996; Chief Operating Officer, October 2, 1995 -- September 16, 1996; President of the Northeast Region at Nextel Communications (wireless communications services company) from 1993-1995.

JOHN F. BARRETT, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1992; member of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating Committee. President and Chief Executive Officer of The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company since March 8, 1994; President and Chief Operating Officer, November 1989 -- March 1994; Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, May 1987 -- October 1989. Director of The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, The Fifth Third Bancorp and its subsidiary, The Fifth Third Bank, and The Andersons, Inc.

PHILLIP R. COX, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1993; member of the Compensation Committee and Finance and Benefits Committee. President and Chief Executive Officer of Cox Financial Corporation (financial planning) since 1972. Chairman of United Way of Cincinnati, March 1995, Vice Chairman of United Way of Cincinnati, 1993-1995, Director of Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland, CInergy

Corp. (gas and electric company), PNC Bank, Ohio, N.A., and Trustee of The Touchstone Funds, investment companies registered under the 1940 Act.

WILLIAM A. FRIEDLANDER, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1986; Chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of the Executive Committee. Chairman of Bartlett & Co. (a registered investment advisor) since 1989; Chief Executive Officer, 1966-1989. Director and Chief Executive Officer of the Greater Cincinnati Foundation (community foundation), 1990-1994. Director of The Union Central Life Insurance Company.

ROGER L. HOWE, Director of Cincinnati Bell since September 16, 1996. Chairman of U.S. Precision Lens, Inc. (manufacturer of optics for the instrument, semiconductor, photographic, fiber optic, medical and toy industries) since 1970. Director of Atkins & Pearce, Inc., Baldwin Piano & Organ Co., Cintas Corporation, Eagle-Picher Industries (diversified manufacturer of industrial products), The R. A. Jones Co., (manufacturer of packaging systems), Star Banc Corp and its subsidiary, The Star Bank.

ROBERT P. HUMMEL, M.D., Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1983; Chairman of the Finance and Benefits Committee and a member of the Executive Committee. Chief of Staff of University Hospital; Emeritus Professor of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati.

JAMES D. KIGGEN, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1983; Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Executive Committee. Chairman of the Board of Xtek, Inc. (manufacturer of engineered steel products for heavy industry) since 1985; Chief Executive Officer of Xtek, Inc. since 1981; President of Xtek, Inc. 1979-1995. Director of Fifth Third Bancorp and its subsidiary, The Fifth Third Bank, The United States Playing Card Company (worldwide manufacturer of playing cards), The R.A. Jones Co. and Xtek, Inc.

37

39

MARY D. NELSON, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1994; a member of the Audit Committee and Finance and Benefits Committee. President of Nelson & Co. (consulting actuaries) since 1975. Director of Blount International, Inc. (manufacturer of outdoor products, industrial and power equipment and sporting equipment) and The Union Central Life Insurance Company.

DAVID B. SHARROCK, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1987; member of the Compensation Committee and Nominating Committee. Consultant since 1994; Retired Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Marion Merrell Dow Inc. (researcher, manufacturer and seller of pharmaceutical products) 1989-1993; President and Chief Operating Officer of Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc., 1988-1989. Director of Unitog Co. (uniform rental company), Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc. (pharmaceutical research), Progenitor, Inc. (pharmaceutical research), Intercardia, Inc. (pharmaceutical product development) and Pharmaceutical Peptides, Inc. (pharmaceutical research).

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS

Western & Southern and The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company currently own, or have voting power or investment power with respect to, an aggregate of 6,452,696 Common Shares or approximately 9.6% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares. Western & Southern acquired 3,157,896 of such Common Shares upon conversion of Cincinnati Bell's 7.25% Cumulative Convertible Voting Preferred Shares, no par value (the "Preferred Shares"). Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement relating to the Preferred Shares, Cincinnati Bell has agreed to indemnify Western & Southern and The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or to contribute to payments Western & Southern and The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company may be required to make in respect thereof, in connection with the DECS Offering. In addition, certain of The Touchstone Funds and certain investment advisory clients of Fort Washington Advisors, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, hold an aggregate of 11,308 Common Shares. Touchstone Advisors, Inc., a wholly

owned subsidiary of The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, is the adviser to The Touchstone Funds. Mr. Barrett, a director of Cincinnati Bell since 1992, is President and Chief Executive Officer of The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, and Mr. Cox, a director of Cincinnati Bell since 1993, is a trustee of The Touchstone Funds.

38

40

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following is a summary description of the capital stock of Cincinnati Bell and is qualified by reference to Cincinnati Bell's Amended Articles of Incorporation (the "Articles"), a copy of which has been filed as Exhibit 4.1 to this Registration Statement. For the text of the provisions summarized below, reference is made to such exhibit.

The authorized capital stock of Cincinnati Bell consists of 240,000,000 Common Shares, par value \$1.00 per share, and 5,000,000 preferred shares, without par value (the "Preferred Shares"), of which 4,000,000 are voting preferred shares (the "Voting Preferred Shares"). At September 30, 1996, 67,393,953 Common Shares were outstanding.

All Common Shares of Cincinnati Bell are entitled to participate equally in such dividends as may be declared by the Board of Directors of Cincinnati Bell and upon liquidation of Cincinnati Bell, subject to the prior rights of any Preferred Shares. All Common Shares are fully paid and nonassessable.

Each shareholder has one vote for each Common Share registered in the shareholder's name. The Board of Directors is divided into three classes as nearly equal in size as the total number of directors constituting the Board permits. The number of directors may be fixed or changed from time to time by the shareholders or the directors.

The Board of Directors is authorized to issue the Preferred Shares from time to time in series and to fix the dividend rate and dividend dates, liquidation price, redemption rights and redemption prices, sinking fund requirements, conversion rights, restrictions, if any, on the creation of indebtedness and on the issuance of such Preferred Shares, and certain other rights, preferences and limitations. Each series of Preferred Shares would rank, with respect to dividends and redemption and liquidation rights, senior to the Common Shares. It is not possible to state the actual effect of the authorization of any series of Preferred Shares upon the rights of holders of the Common Shares until the Board of Directors determines the rights of the holders of one or more series of Preferred Shares. However, such effects could include (a) restrictions on dividends on the Common Shares, (b) dilution of the voting power of the Common Shares to the extent that the Voting Preferred Shares have voting rights or (c) inability of the Common Shares to share in Cincinnati Bell's assets upon liquidation until satisfaction of any liquidation preference granted to the Preferred Shares. The Board of Directors has authorized a series of Preferred Shares, Series A Preferred Shares, in connection with Cincinnati Bell's Share Purchase Rights Plan, described under "Change in Control" below, which when such shares are issued will have the effects on the Common Shares set forth in (a), (b) and (c) immediately above.

No holders of shares of any class of Cincinnati Bell's capital stock have pre-emptive rights nor the right to exercise cumulative voting in the election of directors.

The transfer agent and registrar of the Common Shares is KeyCorp Shareholder Services, Inc., a subsidiary of KeyBank N.A., P. O. Box 6477, Cleveland, Ohio 44101.

CHANGE IN CONTROL

The following provisions of Cincinnati Bell's Articles and Ohio law might have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of

Cincinnati Bell and would operate only with respect to an extraordinary corporate transaction, such as a merger, reorganization, tender offer, sale or transfer of assets or liquidation involving Cincinnati Bell and certain persons described below.

Ohio law provides that the approval of two-thirds of the voting power of a corporation is required to effect mergers and similar transactions, to adopt amendments to the articles of incorporation of a corporation and to take certain other significant actions. Although under Ohio law the articles of incorporation of a corporation may permit such actions to be taken by a vote that is less than two-thirds (but not less than a majority), Cincinnati Bell's Articles do not contain such a provision. The two-thirds voting requirement tends to make approval of such matters, including further amendments to the Articles, relatively difficult and a vote of the holders of in excess of one-third of the outstanding Common Shares of Cincinnati Bell would be sufficient to prevent implementation of any of the corporation actions mentioned above. In addition, Article Fifth classifies

39

41

the Board of Directors into three classes of directors with staggered terms of office and Cincinnati Bell's Amended Regulations provide certain limitations on the removal from and filling of vacancies in the office of director.

Article Sixth of the Articles requires that certain minimum price requirements and procedural safeguards be observed by a person or entity after he or it becomes the holder of 10% or more of the voting shares of Cincinnati Bell if such person or entity seeks to effect mergers or certain other business combinations ("Business Combinations") that could fundamentally change or eliminate the interests of the remaining shareholders. If such requirements and procedures are not complied with, or if the proposed Business Combination is not approved by at least a majority of the members of the Board of Directors who are unaffiliated with the new controlling person or entity (taking into account certain special quorum requirements), the proposed Business Combination must be approved by the holders of 80% of the outstanding Common Shares and outstanding Voting Preferred Shares of Cincinnati Bell (collectively "Voting Shares"), voting together as a class, notwithstanding any other class vote required by law or by the Articles. In the event the price criteria and procedural requirements are met or the requisite approval by such unaffiliated directors (taking into account certain special quorum requirements) is given with respect to a particular Business Combination, the normal voting requirements of Ohio law would apply.

In addition, Article Sixth of the Articles provides that the affirmative vote of the holders of 80% of the Voting Shares, voting as a single class, shall be required to amend or repeal, or adopt any provisions inconsistent with, Article Sixth. An 80% vote is not required to amend or repeal, or adopt a provision inconsistent with, Article Sixth if the Board of Directors has recommended such amendment or other change and if, as of the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to vote thereon, no person is known by the Board of Directors to be the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the Voting Shares, in which event the affirmative vote of the holders of two-thirds of the Voting Shares, voting as a single class, shall be required to amend or repeal, or adopt a provision inconsistent with, Article Sixth.

Ohio, the state of Cincinnati Bell's incorporation, has enacted Ohio Revised Code Section 1701.831, a "control share acquisition" statute, and Chapter 1704, a "merger moratorium" statute. The control share acquisition statute basically provides that any person acquiring shares of an "issuing public corporation" (which definition Cincinnati Bell meets) in any of the following three ownership ranges must seek and obtain shareholder approval of the acquisition transaction that first puts such ownership within each such range: (i) more than 20% but less than 33 1/3%; (ii) 33 1/3% but not more than 50%; and (iii) more than 50%.

The merger moratorium statute provides that, unless a corporation's

articles of incorporation or regulations otherwise provide, an "issuing public corporation" (which definition Cincinnati Bell meets) may not engage in a "Chapter 1704 transaction" for three years following the date on which a person acquires more than 10% of the voting power in the election of directors of the issuing corporation, unless the "Chapter 1704 transaction" is approved by the corporation's board of directors prior to such voting power acquisition. A person who acquires such voting power is an "interested shareholder," and "Chapter 1704 transactions" involve a broad range of transactions, including mergers, consolidations, combinations, liquidations, recapitalization and other transactions between an "issuing public corporation" and an "interested shareholder" if such transactions involve 5% of the assets or shares of the "issuing public corporation" or 10% of its earning power. After the initial three year moratorium, Chapter 1704 prohibits such transactions absent approval by disinterested shareholders or the transaction meeting certain statutorily defined fair price provisions.

Ohio has also enacted a "greenmailer disgorgement" statute which provides that a person who announces a control bid must disgorge profits realized by that person upon the sale of any equity securities within 18 months of the announcement.

In addition, Ohio has a "control bid" statute that provides for the dissemination of certain information and the possibility of a hearing concerning compliance with law in connection with a proposed acquisition of more than 10% of any class of equity securities of a corporation, such as Cincinnati Bell, that has significant contacts with Ohio.

40

42

In October 1986 the Board of Directors of Cincinnati Bell adopted a Share Purchase Rights Plan. Under the plan, shareholders received, in connection with each Common Share owned, the right to purchase one one-hundredth of a Series A Preferred Share at an exercise price of \$125, subject to adjustment (the "Rights"). In 1987, a 2-for-1 split resulted in each Common Share having 0.5 Rights associated with it. In 1989, a 2-for-1 split resulted in each Common Share having 0.25 Rights associated with it. All Common Shares, regardless of when issued, have Rights associated with them. The Rights are exercisable for Series A Preferred Shares following (i) public announcement that a person or group has acquired, or has obtained the right to acquire, beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the outstanding Common Shares, or (ii) the commencement of, or public announcement of an intention to make, a tender offer or exchange offer if, upon consummation, such person or group would be the beneficial owner of 30% or more of the outstanding Common Shares. Upon the later occurrence of certain other events (including a merger with an acquiring entity in which Cincinnati Bell's Common Shares are not changed, the acquisition by a person of beneficial ownership of more than 40% of the then outstanding Common Shares, or certain "self-dealing" transactions between the acquiring entity and Cincinnati Bell), the Rights also would entitle the holder to purchase Common Shares (or other securities or property of Cincinnati Bell) at half the market value. Similarly, upon the occurrence of certain other events (including a merger in which Cincinnati Bell's Common Shares are changed or 50% or more of Cincinnati Bell's assets or earning power is sold or transferred), the Rights would entitle the holder to purchase common stock in the acquiring entity at half the market value. All of the Rights may be redeemed by Cincinnati Bell at a price of \$.01 per Right until ten days after a person or group has acquired beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the outstanding Common Shares, and under certain circumstances thereafter. The Rights will expire on November 5, 1996. Cincinnati Bell may implement a successor shareholder rights plan upon expiration of the Rights.

The terms of the Rights are more fully described in a Form 8-A for Registration of Certain Classes of Securities Pursuant to Section 12(b) or (g) of the Exchange Act, which is incorporated by reference herein.

The issuance of the Rights may have certain anti-takeover effects and possible disadvantages. The Rights will cause substantial dilution to a person

or group who attempts to acquire Cincinnati Bell or a significant Common Share ownership interest without conditioning the offer on the Rights being redeemed or a substantial number of Rights being acquired. Accordingly, an acquiring entity might decide not to acquire Cincinnati Bell or such an interest, although individual shareholders may view such an acquisition favorably. In addition, to the extent that issuance of the Rights discourages takeovers that would result in a change in Cincinnati Bell's management or Board of Directors, such a change will be less likely to occur. The Board of Directors believes, however, that the advantages of discouraging potentially discriminatory and abusive takeover practices outweigh any potential disadvantages of the Rights. The Rights should not interfere with any merger or other Business Combination approved by the Board of Directors. The Rights are designed to protect shareholders against unsolicited attempts to acquire control of Cincinnati Bell, whether through accumulation of Common Shares in the open market or partial or two-tier tender offers, that do not offer a fair price to all shareholders.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Underwriting Agreement (the "Underwriting Agreement") among Salomon, Cincinnati Bell and the Underwriters named below, for whom Salomon Brothers Inc ("Salomon Brothers") and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated ("Morgan Stanley") are acting as representatives, Salomon has agreed to sell to the Underwriters, and the Underwriters have agreed to purchase, the aggregate number of DECS set forth opposite their names below:

UNDERWRITERS	NUMBER OF DECS
-----	-----
Salomon Brothers Inc.....	1,500,000
Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated.....	1,500,000

Total.....	3,000,000 =====

In the Underwriting Agreement, the Underwriters have agreed, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, that the obligations of the Underwriters are subject to certain conditions precedent and that the Underwriters will be obligated to purchase all of the DECS offered pursuant to the DECS Prospectus if any of the DECS are purchased.

Salomon has been advised by the Underwriters that they propose to offer the DECS directly to the public initially at the public offering price set forth on the cover of the DECS Prospectus and to certain dealers at such prices less a concession not in excess of \$ per DECS. The Underwriters may allow, and such dealers may reallow, a concession not in excess of \$ per DECS to other dealers. After the initial public offering, such public offering price and such concession and reallowance may be changed.

Cincinnati Bell and the directors and executive officers of Cincinnati Bell have agreed in the Underwriting Agreement not to (i) offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase, purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant to purchase or otherwise transfer or dispose of, directly or indirectly, any Common Shares or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for Common Shares or (ii) enter into any swap or other arrangement that transfers to another, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of the Common Shares, whether any such transaction described in clause (i) or (ii) above is to be settled by delivery of Common Shares or such other securities, in cash or otherwise, for a period of at least 90 days from the date of this Prospectus without the prior written consent of the Underwriters; provided, however, that

(x) Cincinnati Bell may issue, or grant options for, Common Shares pursuant to any stock plan for employees or directors, or any qualified employee benefit plan, in effect on the date of this Prospectus, or pursuant to any stock options outstanding on the date of this Prospectus, and any defined contribution qualified employee benefit plan in effect on the date of this Prospectus may sell Common Shares to satisfy plan liquidity needs and (y) such officers and directors, which may include John T. LaMacchia, James F. Orr and Brian C. Henry, may sell up to 200,000 Common Shares in the aggregate so long as no one individual sells more than 15% or 5,000 Common Shares (whichever is greater) of his or her respective holdings. If any such consent is given it would not necessarily be preceded or followed by a public announcement thereof.

Cincinnati Bell and the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust have entered into a separate underwriting agreement with the group of underwriters named therein providing for the offer and sale of 2,000,000 Common Shares owned by the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust. The closings of the DECS Offering and the Pension Trust Offering are not conditioned upon each other.

Salomon has granted to the Underwriters an option, exercisable for the 30-day period after the date of the DECS Prospectus, to purchase up to an additional 450,000 DECS from Salomon, at the same price per DECS as the initial DECS to be purchased by the Underwriters. The Underwriters may exercise such option only for the purpose of covering over-allotments, if any, incurred in connection with the sale of DECS offered pursuant to the DECS Prospectus. To the extent that the Underwriters exercise such option, each Underwriter will have a firm commitment, subject to certain conditions, to purchase the same proportion of the DECS as the number of DECS to be purchased and offered by such Underwriter in the above table bears to the total number of initial DECS to be purchased by the Underwriters.

42

44

The DECS will be a new issue of securities with no established trading market. Application has been made to list the DECS on the NYSE, and the Underwriters intend to make a market in the DECS, subject to applicable laws and regulations. However, the Underwriters are not obligated to do so and any such market-making may be discontinued at any time at the sole discretion of the Underwriters without notice. Accordingly, no assurance can be given as to the liquidity of such market.

The Underwriting Agreement provides that Salomon and Cincinnati Bell will indemnify the Underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or contribute to payments the Underwriters may be required to make in respects thereof.

Pursuant to a Purchase Agreement between Western & Southern and Salomon (the "Purchase Agreement"), Salomon has agreed, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, to purchase from Western & Southern a number of Western & Southern DECS equal to the aggregate number of DECS to be purchased by the Underwriters from Salomon pursuant to the Underwriting Agreement (including any DECS to be purchased by the Underwriters upon exercise of the over-allotment option). Pursuant to the terms of the Western & Southern DECS, Western & Southern will be obligated to deliver to Salomon at or prior to maturity of the DECS a number of Common Shares (or, at Western & Southern's option, the cash equivalent) that are expected to have the same value as the Common Shares delivered pursuant to the DECS. For further information, see the DECS Prospectus. Western & Southern has agreed, with certain exceptions, not to (i) offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase, purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant to purchase or otherwise transfer or dispose of, directly or indirectly, any Common Shares or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for Common Shares or (ii) enter into any swap or other arrangement that transfers to another, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of the Common Shares, whether any such transaction described in clause (i) or (ii) above is to be settled by delivery of Common Shares or such other securities, in cash or otherwise, for a period of at least 90 days from the date of this

Prospectus without the prior written consent of the Underwriters; provided, however, that such restriction will not affect the ability of Western & Southern to take any such actions in connection with the offering of the Western & Southern DECS or any exchange at maturity pursuant to the terms of the Western & Southern DECS.

Salomon Brothers is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Salomon. The participation of Salomon Brothers in the offer and sale of the DECS complies with the requirements of Rule 2720 of the Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. regarding the underwriting by Salomon Brothers of the securities of its parent.

In the ordinary course of their respective businesses, certain of the Underwriters and their respective affiliates have engaged in and may in the future engage in commercial and investment banking transactions with Salomon, Cincinnati Bell, Western & Southern and their respective affiliates.

LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters in connection with the Common Shares offered hereby will be passed upon for Cincinnati Bell by Frost & Jacobs, Cincinnati, Ohio. Mr. Baskett, a partner of Frost & Jacobs, is General Counsel and Chief Legal Officer of Cincinnati Bell and is the record owner of 1,040 Common Shares and has options to purchase 80,000 Common Shares. Other attorneys at Frost & Jacobs are the record or beneficial owners of approximately 4,700 Common Shares in the aggregate.

EXPERTS

The consolidated balance sheets of Cincinnati Bell Inc. as of December 31, 1995 and 1994 and the consolidated statements of income, shareowners' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995, which appear in Cincinnati Bell's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, incorporated by reference in this Prospectus, have been incorporated herein in reliance on the report of Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P., independent accountants, given on the authority of that firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

43

45

NO DEALER, SALESPERSON OR ANY OTHER PERSON HAS BEEN AUTHORIZED TO GIVE ANY INFORMATION OR TO MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS OTHER THAN THOSE CONTAINED IN THIS PROSPECTUS IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFER MADE BY THIS PROSPECTUS AND, IF GIVEN OR MADE, SUCH INFORMATION OR REPRESENTATIONS MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON AS HAVING BEEN AUTHORIZED BY CINCINNATI BELL OR ANY OF THE UNDERWRITERS. NEITHER THE DELIVERY OF THIS PROSPECTUS NOR ANY SALE MADE HEREUNDER SHALL, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, CREATE ANY IMPLICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO CHANGE IN THE AFFAIRS OF CINCINNATI BELL SINCE THE DATES AS OF WHICH INFORMATION IS GIVEN IN THIS PROSPECTUS. THIS PROSPECTUS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER OR SOLICITATION BY ANYONE IN ANY JURISDICTION IN WHICH SUCH OFFER OR SOLICITATION IS NOT AUTHORIZED OR IN WHICH THE PERSON MAKING SUCH OFFER OR SOLICITATION IS NOT QUALIFIED TO DO SO OR TO ANY PERSON WHOM IT IS UNLAWFUL TO MAKE SUCH OFFER OR SOLICITATION.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE

Available Information.....	3
Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference.....	4

Prospectus Summary.....	5
Risk Factors.....	8
Use of Proceeds.....	10
Price Range of Common Shares and Dividends.....	11
Selected Consolidated Financial Information.....	12
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	13
Business.....	25
Management.....	36
Certain Relationships.....	38
Description of Capital Stock.....	39
Plan of Distribution.....	42
Legal Matters.....	43
Experts.....	43

3,000,000 SHARES

CINCINNATI BELL INC.

COMMON SHARES
(\$1.00 PAR VALUE)

LOGO

PROSPECTUS
DATED , 1996

46

INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OR AMENDMENT. A REGISTRATION STATEMENT RELATING TO THESE SECURITIES HAS BEEN FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. THESE SECURITIES MAY NOT BE SOLD NOR MAY OFFERS TO BUY BE ACCEPTED PRIOR TO THE TIME THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT BECOMES EFFECTIVE. THIS PROSPECTUS SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO SELL OR THE SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO BUY NOR SHALL THERE BE ANY SALE OF THESE SECURITIES IN ANY STATE IN WHICH SUCH OFFER, SOLICITATION OR SALE WOULD BE UNLAWFUL PRIOR TO REGISTRATION OR QUALIFICATION UNDER THE SECURITIES LAWS OF ANY SUCH STATE.

[ALTERNATE PAGES FOR PENSION TRUST PROSPECTUS]

PROSPECTUS (Subject to Completion)
Issued October , 1996

2,000,000 Shares

LOGO

COMMON SHARES

OF THE 2,000,000 COMMON SHARES OFFERED HEREBY, 1,600,000 COMMON SHARES ARE BEING OFFERED INITIALLY IN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA BY THE U.S. UNDERWRITERS AND 400,000 COMMON SHARES ARE BEING OFFERED INITIALLY OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA BY THE INTERNATIONAL UNDERWRITERS. SEE "UNDERWRITERS." ALL OF THE COMMON SHARES OFFERED HEREBY ARE BEING SOLD BY BANKERS TRUST COMPANY AS TRUSTEE UNDER THE CINCINNATI BELL PENSION PLANS TRUST (THE "CINCINNATI BELL PENSION PLANS TRUST"). SEE "SELLING SHAREHOLDER." NONE OF THE PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF THE COMMON SHARES WILL BE RECEIVED BY CINCINNATI BELL INC. CINCINNATI BELL'S COMMON SHARES ARE LISTED ON THE NEW YORK AND CINCINNATI STOCK EXCHANGES UNDER THE SYMBOL "CSN." ON OCTOBER 7, 1996, THE REPORTED LAST SALE PRICE OF THE COMMON SHARES ON THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE WAS \$52.13.

 THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT OF WHICH THIS PROSPECTUS FORMS A PART ALSO INCLUDES A PROSPECTUS RELATING TO THE DELIVERY BY SALOMON INC OF COMMON SHARES WHICH SALOMON INC MAY RECEIVE FROM WASLIC COMPANY II, A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF THE WESTERN AND SOUTHERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF CERTAIN EXCHANGEABLE NOTES OF WASLIC COMPANY II ISSUED TO SALOMON INC.

SEE "RISK FACTORS" ON PAGE 8 FOR INFORMATION THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED BY PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS.

THESE SECURITIES HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION NOR HAS THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

PRICE \$ A SHARE

	PRICE TO PUBLIC	UNDERWRITING DISCOUNTS AND COMMISSIONS (1)	PROCEEDS TO SELLING SHAREHOLDER (2)
Per Common Share.....	\$	\$	\$
Total(3).....	\$	\$	\$

-
- (1) Cincinnati Bell and the Selling Shareholder have agreed to indemnify the Underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
 - (2) Before deducting expenses payable by the Selling Shareholder estimated at \$. Other expenses of issuance and distribution estimated at \$ are payable by Cincinnati Bell.
 - (3) The Selling Shareholder has granted the U.S. Underwriters an option, exercisable within 30 days of the date hereof, to purchase up to an aggregate of 300,000 additional Common Shares at the price to public less underwriting discounts and commissions for the purpose of covering over-allotments, if any. If the U.S. Underwriters exercise such option in full, the total price to public, underwriting discounts and commissions and proceeds to the Selling Shareholder will be \$, \$ and \$, respectively. See "Underwriters."
-

The Common Shares are offered, subject to prior sale, when, as and if accepted by the Underwriters named herein and subject to approval of certain legal matters by Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton, counsel for the Underwriters. It is expected that delivery of the Common Shares will be made on or about , 1996 through the book entry facilities of The Depository Trust Company, against payment therefor in immediately available funds.

Joint Book-Running Managers

MORGAN STANLEY & CO.
 Incorporated

SALOMON BROTHERS INC

, 1996

[PENSION TRUST PROSPECTUS]

No person is authorized in connection with any offering made hereby to give any information or to make any representation not contained in this Prospectus, and if given or made, such information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized by Cincinnati Bell or the Underwriters. This Prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security other than the securities offered hereby to any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful to make any such offer or solicitation to such person. Neither the delivery of this Prospectus nor any sale made hereby shall under any circumstance imply that the information contained herein is correct as of any date subsequent to the date hereof.

 TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

Available Information.....	3
Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference.....	4
Prospectus Summary.....	5
Risk Factors.....	8
Use of Proceeds.....	10
Price Range of Common Shares and Dividends.....	11
Selected Consolidated Financial Information.....	12
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	13
Business.....	25
Management.....	36
Selling Shareholder.....	38
Description of Capital Stock.....	39
Certain United States Tax Consequences to Non-United States Holders.....	42
Underwriters.....	43
Legal Opinions.....	45
Experts.....	45

48

[PENSION TRUST PROSPECTUS]

THE COMMON SHARES OFFERING

Common Shares offered	
United States offering.....	1,600,000 shares
International offering.....	400,000 shares

Total.....	2,000,000 shares
Common Shares to be outstanding after the offering....	67,393,953 shares
Use of proceeds.....	All of the proceeds will be received by the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust (the "Selling Shareholder"). None of the proceeds will be received by Cincinnati Bell.
New York Stock Exchange Symbol.....	CSN

THE DECS OFFERING

Salomon Inc is also offering for sale in the DECS Offering 3,000,000 of its % Exchangeable Notes due , 2000 (the "DECS"), plus up to an additional 450,000 DECS solely to cover over-allotments. At maturity, the DECS will be mandatorily exchanged by Salomon Inc into Common Shares (or, at Salomon Inc's option, cash with equal value) at the rate specified in the prospectus for the DECS Offering.

[PENSION TRUST PROSPECTUS]

MARY D. NELSON, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1994; a member of the Audit Committee and Finance and Benefits Committee. President of Nelson & Co. (consulting actuaries) since 1975. Director of Blount International, Inc. (manufacturer of outdoor products, industrial and power equipment and sporting equipment) and The Union Central Life Insurance Company.

DAVID B. SHARROCK, Director of Cincinnati Bell since 1987; member of the Compensation Committee and Nominating Committee. Consultant since 1994; Retired Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Marion Merrell Dow Inc. (researcher, manufacturer and seller of pharmaceutical products) 1989-1993; President and Chief Operating Officer of Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc., 1988-1989. Director of Unitog Co. (uniform rental company), Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc. (pharmaceutical research), Progenitor, Inc. (pharmaceutical research), Intercardia, Inc. (pharmaceutical product development) and Pharmaceutical Peptides, Inc. (pharmaceutical research).

SELLING SHAREHOLDER

This Prospectus relates to 2,000,000 Common Shares, plus up to an additional 300,000 Common Shares solely to cover over-allotments, which may be delivered by the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust and are offered by the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust pursuant to this Prospectus. Assuming the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust sells all of such 2,000,000 Common Shares (3% of the outstanding Common Shares) offered hereby and the Underwriters do not exercise their over-allotment option, the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust will thereafter own 1,457,248 Common Shares (2% of the outstanding Common Shares).

[PENSION TRUST PROSPECTUS]

CERTAIN UNITED STATES TAX CONSEQUENCES
TO NON-UNITED STATES HOLDERS

The following is a general discussion of certain United States federal income and estate tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of Common Shares by a person that, for United States federal income tax purposes, is a nonresident alien individual, a foreign corporation, a foreign partnership, or a foreign estate or trust (a "non-U.S. holder"). The discussion does not consider specific facts and circumstances that may be relevant to a particular non-U.S. holder's tax position. Accordingly, each non-U.S. holder is urged to consult its own tax advisor with respect to the United States tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of Common Shares, as well as any tax consequences that may arise under the laws of any state, municipality, foreign country or other taxing jurisdiction.

DIVIDENDS

Dividends paid to a non-U.S. holder of Common Shares ordinarily will be subject to withholding of United States federal income tax at a 30 percent rate, or at a lower rate under an applicable income tax treaty that provides for a reduced rate of withholding. However, if the dividends are effectively connected with the conduct by the holder of a trade or business within the United States, then the dividends will be exempt from the withholding tax described above and instead will be subject to United States federal income tax on a net income basis.

GAIN ON DISPOSITION OF COMMON SHARES

A non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax in respect of gain realized on a disposition of Common Shares,

provided that (a) the gain is not effectively connected with a trade or business conducted by the non-U.S. holder in the United States and (b) in the case of a non-U.S. holder who is an individual and who holds the Common Shares as a capital asset, such holder is present in the United States for less than 183 days in the taxable year of the sale and other conditions are met.

FEDERAL ESTATE TAXES

Common Shares owned or treated as being owned by a non-U.S. holder at the time of death will be included in such holder's gross estate for United States federal estate tax purposes, unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise.

U.S. INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND BACKUP WITHHOLDING TAX

U.S. information reporting requirements and backup withholding tax will not apply to dividends paid on Common Shares to a non-U.S. holder at an address outside the United States. As a general matter, information reporting and backup withholding also will not apply to a payment of the proceeds of a sale of Common Shares effected outside the United States by a foreign office of a foreign broker. However, information reporting requirements (but not backup withholding) will apply to a payment of the proceeds of a sale of Common Shares effected outside the United States by a foreign office of a broker if the broker is a U.S. person, derives 50 percent or more of its gross income for certain periods from the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, or is a "controlled foreign corporation" as to the United States, unless the broker has documentary evidence in its records that the holder is a non-U.S. holder and certain conditions are met, or the holder otherwise establishes an exemption. Payment by a United States office of a broker of the proceeds of a sale of Common Shares will be subject to both backup withholding and information reporting unless the holder certifies its non-United States status under penalties of perjury or otherwise establishes an exemption.

These backup withholding and information reporting rules are under review by the United States Treasury, and their application to the Common Shares could be changed by future regulations.

[PENSION TRUST PROSPECTUS]

UNDERWRITERS

Under the terms and subject to the conditions contained in an Underwriting Agreement dated the date hereof, a syndicate of United States underwriters (the "U.S. Underwriters") named below has severally agreed to purchase, and the Selling Shareholder has agreed to sell to them, severally, the respective number of Common Shares set forth opposite the names of such U.S. Underwriters below, and a syndicate of international underwriters (the "International Underwriters") named below has severally agreed to purchase, and the Selling Shareholder has agreed to sell to them, severally, the respective number of Common Shares set forth opposite the names of such International Underwriters below:

NAME	NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES

U.S. Underwriters:	
Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated.....	
Salomon Brothers Inc	
Subtotal.....	----- 1,600,000 -----
International Underwriters:	
Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited.....	

Salomon Brothers International Limited.....	-----
Subtotal.....	400,000
Total.....	2,000,000
	=====

The U.S. Underwriters and the International Underwriters are collectively referred to as the "Underwriters." The Underwriting Agreement provides that the obligations of the several Underwriters to pay for and accept delivery of the Common Shares offered hereby are subject to the approval of certain legal matters by their counsel and to certain other conditions. The Underwriters are obligated to take and pay for all of the Common Shares offered hereby (other than those covered by the over-allotment option described below) if any such shares are taken.

Pursuant to the Agreement Between U.S. and International Underwriters, each U.S. Underwriter has represented and agreed that, with certain exceptions, (i) it is not purchasing any U.S. Shares (as defined below) for the account of anyone other than a United States or Canadian Person (as defined below) and (ii) it has not offered or sold, and will not offer or sell, directly or indirectly, any U.S. Shares or distribute any prospectus relating to the U.S. Shares outside the United States or Canada or to anyone other than a United States or Canadian Person. Pursuant to the Agreement Between U.S. and International Underwriters, each International Underwriter has represented and agreed that, with certain exceptions, (i) it is not purchasing any International Shares (as defined below) for the account of any United States or Canadian Person and (ii) it has not offered or sold, and will not offer or sell, directly or indirectly, any International Shares or distribute any prospectus relating to the International Shares within the United States or Canada or to any United States or Canadian Person. With respect to any Underwriter that is a U.S. Underwriter and an International Underwriter, the foregoing representations and agreements (i) made by it in its capacity as a U.S. Underwriter shall apply only to Common Shares purchased by it in its capacity as a U.S. Underwriter, (ii) made by it in its capacity as an International Underwriter shall apply only to Common Shares purchased by it in its capacity as an International Underwriter and (iii) shall not restrict its ability to distribute any prospectus relating to the Common Shares to any person. The foregoing limitations do not apply to stabilization transactions or to certain other transactions specified in the Agreement between U.S. and International Underwriters. As used herein, "United States or Canadian Person" means any national or resident of the

[PENSION TRUST PROSPECTUS]

United States or Canada, or any corporation, pension, profit-sharing or other trust or other entity organized under the laws of the United States or Canada or any political subdivision thereof (other than a branch located outside the United States and Canada of any United States or Canadian Person) and includes any United States or Canadian branch of a person who is otherwise not a United States or Canadian Person. All Common Shares to be purchased by the U.S. Underwriters and the International Underwriters are referred to herein as the U.S. Shares and the International Shares, respectively.

Pursuant to the Agreement Between U.S. and International Underwriters, sales may be made between the U.S. Underwriters and the International Underwriters of any number of Common Shares to be purchased pursuant to the Underwriting Agreement as may be mutually agreed. The per share price and currency of any shares sold shall be the Price to Public set forth on the cover page hereof, in United States dollars, less an amount not greater than the per share amount of the concession to dealers set forth below.

Pursuant to the Agreement Between U.S. and International Underwriters, each U.S. Underwriter has represented that it has not offered or sold, and has agreed not to offer or sell, any Common Shares, directly or indirectly, in any province

or territory of Canada or to, or for the benefit of, any resident of any province or territory of Canada in contravention of the securities laws thereof and has represented that any offer of Common Shares in Canada will be made only pursuant to an exemption from the requirement to file a prospectus in the province or territory of Canada in which such offer is made. Each U.S. Underwriter has further agreed to send to any dealer who purchases from it any Common Shares a notice stating in substance that, by purchasing such Common Shares, such dealer represents and agrees that it has not offered or sold, and will not offer or sell, directly or indirectly, any of such Common Shares in any province or territory of Canada or to, or for the benefit of, any resident of any province or territory of Canada in contravention of the securities laws thereof and that any offer of Common Shares in Canada will be made only pursuant to an exemption from the requirement to file a prospectus in the province or territory of Canada in which such offer is made, and that such dealer will deliver to any other dealer to whom it sells any of such Common Shares a notice to the foregoing effect.

Each International Underwriter has agreed that: (i) it has not offered or sold and will not offer or sell any Common Shares to persons in the United Kingdom ("U.K.") except to persons whose ordinary activities involve them in acquiring, holding, managing or disposing of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of their businesses or otherwise in circumstances which have not resulted and will not result in an offer to the public in the U.K. within the meaning of the Public Offers of Securities Regulations 1995 (the "Regulations"); (ii) it has complied and will comply with all applicable provisions of the Financial Services Act 1986 and the Regulations with respect to anything done by it in relation to the Common Shares in, from or otherwise involving the U.K.; and (iii) it has only issued or passed on, and will only issue or pass on, to any person in the U.K. any document received by it in connection with the issue of the Common Shares, if that person is of a kind described in Article 11(3) of the Financial Services Act 1986 (Investment Advertisements) (Exemptions) Order 1995 or is a person to whom the document may otherwise lawfully be issued or passed on.

The Underwriters initially propose to offer part of the Common Shares directly to the public at the Price to Public set forth on the cover page hereof and part to certain dealers at a price that represents a concession not in excess of \$ _____ a share under the public offering price. The Underwriters may allow, and such dealers may reallow, a concession not in excess of \$ _____ a share to other Underwriters or to certain other dealers. After the initial offering of the Common Shares, the offering price and other selling terms may from time to time be varied by the Underwriters.

Pursuant to the Underwriting Agreement, the Selling Shareholder has granted to the U.S. Underwriters an option, exercisable for 30 days from the date of this Prospectus, to purchase up to an aggregate of 300,000 additional Common Shares at the Price to Public set forth on the cover page hereof, less underwriting discounts and commissions. The U.S. Underwriters may exercise such option solely for the purpose of covering over-allotments, if any, made in connection with the offering of the Common Shares offered hereby. To the extent such option is exercised, each U.S. Underwriter will become obligated, subject to certain conditions, to purchase approximately the same percentage of such additional Common Shares as the number set forth next to such U.S. Underwriter's name in the preceding table bears to the total number of U.S. Shares offered hereby.

Cincinnati Bell, the Selling Shareholder and the directors and executive officers of Cincinnati Bell have agreed in the Underwriting Agreement not to (i) offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase, purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant to purchase or otherwise transfer or dispose of, directly or indirectly, any Common Shares or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for

Common Shares or (ii) enter into any swap or other arrangement that transfers to another, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of the Common Shares, whether any such transaction described in clause (i) or (ii) above is to be settled by delivery of Common Shares or such other securities, in cash or otherwise, except for the shares to be sold in the offerings, for a period of at least 90 days from the date of this Prospectus without the prior written consent of the Representatives, on behalf of the several Underwriters; provided, however, that (x) Cincinnati Bell may issue, or grant options for, Common Shares pursuant to any stock plan for employees or directors, or any qualified employee benefit plan, in effect on the date of this Prospectus, or pursuant to any stock options outstanding on the date of this Prospectus, and any defined contribution qualified employee benefit plan in effect on the date of this Prospectus may sell Common Shares to satisfy plan liquidity need and (y) such officers and directors, which may include John T. LaMacchia, James F. Orr and Brian C. Henry, may sell up to 200,000 Common Shares in the aggregate so long as no one individual sells more than 15% or 5,000 Common Shares (whichever is greater) of his or her respective holdings. If any such consent is given it would not necessarily be preceded or followed by a public announcement thereof.

Cincinnati Bell and Salomon Inc have entered into a separate underwriting agreement with the group of underwriters named therein providing for the offer and sale by Salomon Inc to such underwriters of 3,000,000 DECS, plus up to an additional 450,000 DECS solely to cover over-allotments. At maturity, the DECS will be mandatorily exchangeable by Salomon Inc into Common Shares (or, at Salomon Inc's option, cash with an equal value), at the rate specified in the prospectus for the DECS Offering. The closings of the Common Shares Offering and the DECS Offering are not conditioned upon each other.

In the ordinary course of their respective businesses, certain of the Underwriters and their respective affiliates have engaged in and may in the future engage in commercial and investment banking transactions with Cincinnati Bell.

Cincinnati Bell, the Selling Shareholder and the Underwriters have agreed to indemnify each other against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

LEGAL OPINIONS

The legality of the Common Shares and certain other legal matters in connection with the sale of the Common Shares offered hereby will be passed upon for Cincinnati Bell by Frost & Jacobs, Cincinnati, Ohio. Mr. Baskett, a partner of Frost & Jacobs, is General Counsel and Chief Legal Officer of Cincinnati Bell and is the record owner of 1,040 Common Shares and has options to purchase 80,000 Common Shares. Other attorneys at Frost & Jacobs are the record or beneficial owners of approximately 4,700 Common Shares in the aggregate. Certain legal matters in connection with the sale of the Common Shares offered hereby will be passed upon for the Underwriters by Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton, New York, New York. Certain legal matters relating to the Selling Shareholder will be passed on by Paul Hastings, Janofsky and Walker, New York, New York.

EXPERTS

The consolidated balance sheets of Cincinnati Bell Inc. as of December 31, 1995 and 1994 and the consolidated statements of income, shareowners' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995, which appear in Cincinnati Bell's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, incorporated by reference in this Prospectus, have been incorporated herein in reliance on the report of Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P., independent accountants, given on the authority of that firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

PART II
 INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 14. OTHER EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE AND DISTRIBUTION. (1)

Securities and Exchange Commission Registration Fee.....	\$36,375
National Association Securities Dealers, Inc. Filing Fee.....	\$ *
Blue Sky qualification fees and expenses.....	\$ *
Printing and engraving expenses.....	\$ *
Legal fees and expenses.....	\$ *
Accounting fees and expenses.....	\$ *
Miscellaneous fees and expenses.....	\$ *

Total.....	\$
	=====

- - - - -

(1) Estimated, other than SEC registration fee.

*To be provided by Amendment.

ITEM 15. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

There is no provision in Cincinnati Bell's Amended Articles of Incorporation by which an officer or director of Cincinnati Bell may be indemnified against any liability which he or she may incur in his or her capacity as such. However, Cincinnati Bell has indemnification provisions in its Amended Regulations which provide that Cincinnati Bell will, to the full extent permitted by Ohio law, indemnify all persons whom it may indemnify pursuant thereto.

Reference is made to sec.1701.13(E) of the Ohio Revised Code which provides for indemnification of directors and officers in certain circumstances.

Reference is made to the Form of Underwriting Agreement filed as Exhibit 1.1 hereto which contains provisions by which the Underwriters agree to indemnify Cincinnati Bell, each of its directors and each of its officers who signs this Registration Statement, with respect to information furnished by the Underwriters for use in this Registration Statement.

The foregoing references are necessarily subject to the complete text of the Amended Regulations, the statute and the Form of Underwriting Agreement referred to above and are qualified in their entirety by reference thereto.

Cincinnati Bell provides liability insurance for its directors and officers for certain losses arising from certain claims and charges, including claims and charges under the Securities Act, which may be made against such persons while acting in their capacities as directors and officers of Cincinnati Bell.

ITEM 16. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

EXHIBIT NO.	TITLE OF EXHIBIT

1.1(a)	Form of Underwriting Agreement relating to the DECS Offering.*
1.1(b)	Form of Underwriting Agreement relating to the Pension Trust Offering.*

- 4.1 Amended Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(a) to Cincinnati Bell's Form 10-K for 1989, File No. 1-8519).
- 4.2 Amended Regulations (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Cincinnati Bell's Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 2-96054).
- 4.3 Rights Agreement dated as of October 27, 1986 between Cincinnati Bell and Morgan Shareholder Services Trust Company, Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (1) to Form 8-A, File No. 1-8519).
- 4.4 First Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of October 3, 1988, between Cincinnati Bell and Morgan Shareholder Services Trust Company, Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (4)(b)(ii) to Form 10-K for 1988, File No. 1-8519).
- 4.5 Indenture dated August 1, 1962 between Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company and Bank of New York, Trustee (formerly, The Central Trust Company was trustee), in connection with \$20,000,000 of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company Forty Year 4 3/8% Debentures, Due August 1, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)(iii) to Form 10-K for 1992, File No. 1-8519).
- 4.6 Indenture dated August 1, 1971 between Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company and Bank of New York, Trustee (formerly The Fifth Third Bank was trustee), in connection with \$50,000,000 of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company Forty Year 7 3/8% Debentures, Due August 1, 2011. A copy of this Indenture is not being filed because it is similar in all material respects to the Indenture filed as Exhibit 4.5 above.
- 4.7 Indenture dated December 15, 1992 between Cincinnati Bell Inc., Issuer, and The Bank of New York, Trustee, in connection with \$100,000,000 of Cincinnati Bell Inc. 6.70% Notes Due December 15, 1997. A copy of this Indenture is not being filed because it is similar in all material respects to the Indenture filed as Exhibit 4(e)(ii) to Form 10-K for 1992, File No. 1-8519.
- 4.8 Indenture dated July 1, 1993 between Cincinnati Bell Inc., Issuer, and The Bank of New York, Trustee, in connection with \$50,000,000 of Cincinnati Bell, Inc. 7 3/8% Notes Due June 15, 2023 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-A to Form 8-K, date of report July 12, 1993, File No. 1-8519).
- 4.9 Indenture dated as of October 27, 1993 among Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company, as Issuer, Cincinnati Bell Inc., as Guarantor, and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-A to Form 8-K, date of report October 27, 1993, File No. 1-8519). Pursuant to Regulation S-K, Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A), no other instrument which defines the rights of holders of long-term debt of the registrant is filed herewith. In accordance with such regulation, the registrant hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any such instrument to the SEC upon request.
- 5.1 Opinion of Frost & Jacobs, counsel for Cincinnati Bell, as to the legality of the Common Shares being registered.
- 23.1 Consent of Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.
- 23.2 Consent of Frost & Jacobs is contained in opinion of counsel filed as Exhibit 5.1.
- 24.1 Powers of Attorney executed by directors and officers.

- - - - -

* To be filed by Amendment.

ITEM 17. UNDERTAKINGS.

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes that, for purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each filing of the registrant's annual report pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (and, where applicable, each filing of an employee benefit plan's annual report pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that is incorporated by reference in the registration statement shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered

II-2

57

herein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate

jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes that:

(1) For purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, the information omitted from the form of prospectus filed as part of this registration statement in reliance upon Rule 430A and contained in a form of prospectus filed by the registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b)(1) or (4) or 497(h) under the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of this registration statement as of the time it was declared effective.

(2) For the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each post-effective amendment that contains a form of prospectus shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

II-3

58

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form S-3 and has duly caused this Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized in the City of Cincinnati, State of Ohio on the 8th day of October, 1996.

CINCINNATI BELL INC.

/s/ BRIAN C. HENRY

By:

Brian C. Henry
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Registration Statement has been signed below by the following persons in the capacities and on the date indicated below.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
JOHN T. LAMACCHIA*	Principal Executive Officer; President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	October 8, 1996
John T. LaMacchia BRIAN C. HENRY*	Principal Accounting and Financial Officer; Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	October 8, 1996
Brian C. Henry JOHN F. BARRETT*	Director	October 8, 1996
John F. Barrett PHILLIP R. COX*	Director	October 8, 1996
Phillip R. Cox WILLIAM A. FRIEDLANDER*	Director	October 8, 1996
William A. Friedlander ROBERT P. HUMMEL, M.D.*	Director	October 8, 1996
Robert P. Hummel, M.D. JAMES D. KIGGEN*	Director	October 8, 1996
James D. Kiggen JAMES F. ORR*	Director	October 8, 1996

James F. Orr		
CHARLES S. MECHEM, JR.*	Director and Chairman of the Board	October 8, 1996

Charles S. Mechem, Jr.		
MARY D. NELSON*	Director	October 8, 1996

Mary D. Nelson		
DAVID B. SHARROCK*	Director	October 8, 1996

David B. Sharrock		

*By /s/ BRIAN C. HENRY		October 8, 1996

Brian C. Henry		
as attorney-in-fact and on his behalf		
as Executive Vice President and		
Chief Financial Officer		

[Frost and Jacobs Letterhead]

October 8, 1996

(513) 651-6800

Cincinnati Bell Inc.
201 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are counsel for Cincinnati Bell Inc. (the "Company") and are acting as such in connection with the registration of 5,750,000 of the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share (the "Common Shares"), under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, on a Form S-3 Registration Statement (the "Registration Statement") which the Company intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about October 8, 1996.

With respect to the Common Shares registered pursuant to the Registration Statement as filed (and as it may be amended), this is to advise that it is our opinion that the Company is duly organized as an Ohio corporation and is in good standing, and that the Common Shares to be registered, when sold, will be validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable under the laws of the State of Ohio.

We hereby give our written consent to the filing of this opinion as an Exhibit to the Registration Statement and to the use of our name wherever it appears in such Registration Statement.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Frost & Jacobs

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

We consent to the incorporation by reference in this registration statement on Form S-3 of our report dated February 14, 1996 on our audits of the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules of Cincinnati Bell Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 1995 and 1994 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995. We also consent to the reference to our firm under the caption "Experts".

/s/ Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.

Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.

Cincinnati, Ohio
October 7, 1996

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is an officer and a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ John T. LaMacchia

John T. LaMacchia, Chief Executive Officer,
President and Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, John T. LaMacchia, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required

to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is an officer of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Brian C. Henry

Brian C. Henry, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, Brian C. Henry, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is an officer and a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the

same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Charles S. Mechem, Jr.

Charles S. Mechem, Jr., Chairman of the Board
and Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, Charles S. Mechem, Jr., to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ John F. Barrett

John F. Barrett, Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, John F. Barrett, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

5

Exhibit 24.1

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Phillip R. Cox

Phillip R. Cox, Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, Phillip R. Cox, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and

who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ William A. Friedlander

William A. Friedlander, Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, William A. Friedlander, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Robert P. Hummel, M.D.

Robert P. Hummel, M.D., Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, Robert P. Hummel, M. D., to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and

regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ James D. Kiggen

James D. Kiggen, Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, James D. Kiggen, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, her attorneys-in-fact, for her and in her name, place and stead and in her office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set her hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary D. Nelson

Mary D. Nelson, Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, Mary D. Nelson, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and she duly acknowledged to me that she executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, (i) which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates, and (ii) which will be offered by Bankers Trust Company as trustee under the Cincinnati Bell Pension Plans Trust; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and

confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ David B. Sharrock

David B. Sharrock, Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 16 day of September, 1996, personally appeared before me, David B. Sharrock, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 16 day of September, 1996.

/s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public

POWER OF ATTORNEY

WHEREAS, CINCINNATI BELL INC., an Ohio corporation (the "Company"), proposes to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with respect to the Company's common shares, par value \$1.00 per share, which will be required to be delivered by Salomon Inc. pursuant to the terms of its Exchangeable Notes, which common shares Salomon will receive from The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company or its affiliates; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is an officer and a director of the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian C. Henry, William H. Zimmer III, William D. Baskett III, and each of them individually, his attorneys-in-fact, for him and in his name, place and stead and in his office and capacity with the Company, to execute and file such Registration Statement and additional Registration Statements, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, and thereafter to execute and file any amendments or supplements thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purpose as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact may or shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand this 8th day of October, 1996.

/s/ James F. Orr

James F. Orr, Chief Operating Officer and Director

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

On the 8th day of October, 1996, personally appeared before me, James F. Orr, to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and he duly acknowledged to me that he executed and delivered the same for purposes therein expressed.

Witness my hand and official seal this 8th day of October, 1996.

 /s/ Mary Janet Edwards

Notary Public